

hello,

we oppose hb2001, as it doesn't address our green spaces, infrastructure, real affordable housing, displacement, and the arrogance of upzoning the entire

state greater than 10k...

to quote paul conte, "“Middle housing” can create other problems when forced into older, single-family neighborhoods without careful evaluation of potential impacts. Many older neighborhoods don't have the utility, storm sewer and street infrastructure to safely and adequately handle a substantial increase in households."

our state and portland in particular, are experiencing many more problems as our region is stuffed to the gills...

our historic buildings are razed, and \$800,000 homes are the replacement...

even if a duplex, (i own a 1920 one), and rent out the other side for below market-rate, is allowed to replace a historic house, each newly built unit

costs almost 1 million...

we already have a mix of housing in ne portland neighborhoods, during the "urban renewal" cleansing, which displaced so many portlanders...

how is that affordable?

i've submitted many examples of this fact to city concil testimony regarding rip/portland, as there are examples of this blatant zoning farce all over n.e.

portland, n. portland, s.e. portland, sellwood, and st. johns to name a couple...

hb2001 is wrong for oregon...

i can imagine the folks in the eastern section of oregon wondering what is going on with hb2001?

this haphazard bill must be stopped....

toss it out, and continue with meetings that tackle housing affordability and leave our local zoning alone....

our sfr's are already existing.....

this kotek hb2001 is ill- conceived and wasteful....

the greenest house is the one already standing, and the environment will suffer as a result....

oregon and portland used to be green, but that has been compromised by greed...

if you care about oregon, you will stop this from happening...

sincerely,

teresa mcgrath and nat kim

3344 ne 15th pdx 97212/442 ne sumner pdx 97211

