

From: [ed gorman](#)
To: [HHS Exhibits](#)
Cc: [Rep KenyGuyer](#); [Rep Noble](#); [Rep Sanchez](#); [Rep Helt](#); [Rep Meek](#); [Rep Mitchell](#); [Rep Schouten](#); [Rep Williams](#); [Rep Zika](#); [FenderSosa Amie](#); [Buell Adam](#)
Subject: I oppose HB 2001
Date: Sunday, February 10, 2019 7:05:41 PM
Attachments: [Letter re HB 2001 23-Jan-2018.pdf](#)

Honorable Representatives:

When I first perused HB 2001 on the legislative website I could not believe what a shoddy, ill conceived, inequitable on so many levels, thoroughly imprecise draft of legislation I was reading. It is metaphorically equivalent to cutting off an arm to treat a broken finger, driving a finish nail with a sledge hammer.

I have many thoughts regarding the proposed HB 2001 including these :

- it does not link added density to amenities and services. The availability of services to support these additional units including schools, transportation and other infrastructure remains unaddressed, in violation of Goal 11 – Public Facilities and services.
- it proposes to add types of housing but does not make it affordable, where the majority of the housing need resides. Instead, it promotes the development of rental units at the sacrifice of home ownership. No Housing Needs Analysis has been conducted to substantiate that HB2001 will satisfy an identified need, in violation of Goal 10- Housing
- Public involvement in the development of HB 2001 has been non-existent. This violates the cornerstone of the Oregon Land Use system Goal 1- Citizen Involvement. As has been stated publicly Tom McCall must be spinning In his grave
- Developers will be the group that benefits most from this proposed legislation.

I fully support the attached document written by Paul T. Conte, which thoroughly and eloquently critiques HB 2001

You legislators can do better, and we citizens deserve better from you.

Respectfully,
Ed Gorman
3016 NE 56th Av
Portland 97213