

**From:** [Darlow Lee Tuneberg](#)  
**To:** [SJUD Exhibits](#)  
**Subject:** Opposing Legislative concept 38  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 14, 2020 2:59:03 PM

---

Elected and Appointed Officials:

I want to be put on record as opposing the above concept paper and related or resulting proposed legislation. Although I understand the frustration and desire of its authors I believe this is another attempt to "fix" societal problems by penalizing law abiding citizens. Where else in society does a property owner get held liable for what is done by a thief who has broken laws to obtain someone else's property? Does the same legislation exist for a car thief, or someone who has stolen a knife, hammer, ice pick or any other item used in an assault or murder? Securing your home or vehicle should be enough to remove liability for the owner of any illegal use or misuse of a personal possession, taken illegally or inappropriately. Any attempt to assign liability in such an event must be determined through a civil court giving the original owner a chance to defend themselves in front of their peers and not a broad-based attempt to establish egregious and flimsy gun control via inappropriate and extreme legislation that makes criminals of those who otherwise are law-abiding citizens.

Such laws will not change the current trend of unbalanced or immature people striking out at others, only change their approach.

Please do not pass or consider legislation that you wish or hope will save some lives at the cost of alienating and criminalizing the law abiding citizen. Doing so means you have taken the easy way out rather than dealing with underlying causes of the issue.

Thank you for listening,

D.L. Tuneberg  
Ashland Oregon