# STATISTICAL TRANSPARENCY OF POLICING (STOP)

FIRST ANNUAL LEGISLATIVE REPORT (2018-2019)

15 January 2020

Ken Sanchagrin STOP Program Director Agency Deputy Director Oregon Criminal Justice Commission 

### BACKGROUND HB 2355

79th OREGON LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY-2017 Regular Session

#### Enrolled

#### House Bill 2355

Introduced and printed pursuant to House Rule 12.00. Presession filed (at the request of Attorney General Ellen Resemblum)

CHAPTER .....

AN ACT

Relating to public safety: creating new provisions; amending ORS 51.050, 157.915, 151.920, 131.925, 137.633, 161.670, 161.616, 181A.410, 221.339, 419C.601, 423.478, 423.525, 475.006, 476.752, 476.824, 475.824, 475.854, 475.874, 475.884 and 475.894; and declaring an emergency.

#### Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

<u>SECTION 1.</u> As used in sections 1 to 4 of this 2017 Act:

(1) "Law enforcement agency" means an agency employing law enforcement officers to enforce criminal laws.

(2) "Law enforcement officer" means a member of the Oregon State Police, a sheriff or a municipal police officer.

(3) "Officer-initiated pedestrian stop" means a detention of a pedestrian by a law enforcement officer, not associated with a call for service, when the detention results in a eitation, an arrest or a consensual search of the pedestrian's body or property. The term does not apply to detentions for routine searches performed at the point of entry to or exit from a controlled area.

(4) "Officer-initiated traffic stop" means a detention of a driver of a motor vehicle by a law enforcement officer, not associated with a call for service, for the purpose of investigating a suspected violation of the Oregon Vehicle Code.

(5) "Profiling" means the targeting of an individual by a law enforcement agency or a law enforcement officer, on suspicion of the individual's having violated a provision of law, based solely on the individual's real or perceived age, race, ethnicity, color, national origin, language, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, political affiliation, religion, homelessness or disability, unless the agency or officer is acting on a suspect description or information related to an identified or suspected violation of a provision of law.

(6) "Sexual orientation" has the meaning given that term in ORS 174.100.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> (1) No later than July 1, 2018, the Oregon Criminal Justice Commission, in consultation with the Department of State Police and the Department of Justice, shall develop and implement a standardized method to be used by law enforcement officers to record officer-initiated pedestrian stop and officer-initiated traffic stop data. The standardized method must require, and any form developed and used pursuant to the standardized method must provide for, the following data to be recorded for each stop:

(a) The date and time of the stop;

(b) The location of the stop;

 <u>HB 2355</u> (2017) required law enforcement to report on all discretionary traffic and pedestrian stops.

STOP Data Elements						
Agency Name	Gender					
Stop Date/Time	Reason for the Stop					
Location & County <sup>+</sup>	Outcome of Stop					
Type of Stop (Traffic/Ped)	Search (Y/N)					
Residential Zip Code+	Search Reason					
Race/Ethnicity	Search Findings					
Age	Was an Arrest Made?					

# **OREGON STOP PROGRAM**

FIRST ANNUAL REPORT (I DECEMBER 2019)



### FIRST ANNUAL STOP REPORT GOALS AND DESIGN

### Goals:

- To analyze STOP data following best practices, which includes the use of statistical techniques that avoid the use of benchmarks
- To provide results in an accessible manner via the report and the accompanying data dashboards
- To conduct analyses that will provide actionable information to agencies, stakeholders, and DPSST



#### Statistical Transparency of Policing



In December 2019, the Criminal Justice Commission issued the first STOP report directed under House Bill 2355. The report analyzes nearly 400,000 officer-initiated traffic and pedestrian stops in a one-year period by the 12 largest law-enforcement agencies, from July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019.

The report breaks down the data by race/ethnicity and other demographic factors, and sets thresholds for determining whether there are discrepancies with individual law-enforcement agencies or the state as a whole.

The data contained in this report are intended to be used as a tool for law enforcement, citizens and community members, researchers, policy makers, and other stakeholders to focus training and technical assistance on those agencies found to have disparities in outcomes for minority individuals.

To create and implement the STOP Program, researchers utilized three rigorous statistical analyses, consistent with best practices, to identify disparities in Oregon. The use of these three tests allows the STOP Program researchers to evaluate numerous decision points before and during a stop, while also providing numerous points of analysis in the search for disparate outcomes.

The four tabs that follow on this dashboard report summary data by agency as well as the results for the three statistical analyses used to identify disparities. Each analysis is described on the relevant page. Detailed information on the analysis, as well as technical documentation, can be found in the full report on the Commission's website.

One year's worth of data is an important starting point and milestone, but it will take multiple years of data collection to provide a more reliable view of discrepancies and trends. Over the next several years, the data that are collected will provide a statistically valid view of officer-initiated traffic and pedestrian stops, addressing the Legislature's goal of examining possible disparities in stops by race/ethnicity and other demographics.

STOP Program Dashboard can be found at www.oregon.gov/cjc

STANDARDS AND METHODOLOGICAL THRESHOLDS

### Sample Size

- To conduct analyses, a sufficient number of data points are required.
  - Insufficient number of observations to analyze Asian/PI, Native American, and Middle Eastern stops at the agency level.
  - Some Tier I agencies had insufficient data points to run Black and/or Hispanic analyses as well.
  - In future years, data will be combined.

### Threshold for Statistical Significance<sup>a</sup>

- Results must be statistically significant at the 95% confidence level.
- Further investigation by the STOP Program and DPSST is warranted when an agency has statistically significant disparities in 2 of the 3 tests.

#### Sample Size Thresholds for Conducting Statistical Analyses

Statistical Test	Sample Size Threshold
Veil of Darkness	Minimum of 100 observations for an individual racial/ethnic group
Predicted Disposition	Minimum of 100 observations for an individual racial/ethnic group
Hit-Rate	Minimum 30 observations per racial/ethnic group analyzed; no cell with less than 5 observations

<sup>a</sup> All thresholds used in STOP analyses were set according to best practices and modeled after the standards set by the Connecticut Racial Profiling Prohibition Project

### ANALYSES OF OREGON STOP DATA THE INITIAL DECISION TO STOP AN INDIVIDUAL

Veil of Darkness Model

- Compares stops made in the daylight to stops made in darkness.
  - To ensure that similar driving populations are examined, only stops made during the morning and evening are included in the models.
  - Controls for day of the week, season, and time of day are included as well.
- If stops are being made in a race-neutral manner, stop rates should be almost identical and the model results for daylight/darkness should not be statistically significant.



THE INITIAL DECISION TO STOP AN INDIVIDUAL

### Veil of Darkness Model

- <u>Reading the Results</u>: an odds ratio above I.0 indicates that a minority group was stopped more often in the daylight, which indicates a possible disparity.
- No statistically significant disparities above 1.0 were found in the VOD models.

Logistic Regression of Minority Status on Daylight for All Tier 1 Agencies

Sample	Asian/PI	Black	Hispanic	Middle Eastern	Native Am.
Tier 1 Agencies	1.05	0.96	0.93	0.89	0.86
Tier 1 Agencies (no OSP)	0.95	0.90	0.90	1.02	0.81



### ANALYSES OF OREGON STOP DATA THE INITIAL DECISION TO STOP AN INDIVIDUAL

### Veil of Darkness Model

- <u>Reading the Results</u>: an odds ratio above I.0 indicates that a minority group was stopped more often in the daylight, which indicates a possible disparity.
- No statistically significant disparities above 1.0 were found in the VOD models.

			Combined
Agency	Black	Hispanic	Sample
Beaverton PD	0.90	1.19	1.10
Clackamas Co SO	1.34	1.12	1.18
Eugene PD	0.58	1.18	0.83
Gresham PD	0.77	0.62	0.70
Hillsboro PD	1.02	0.87	0.89
Marion Co SO	N/A	0.82	0.92
Medford PD	N/A	0.78	0.64
Multnomah Co SO	0.93	1.00	0.97
Oregon State Police	1.04	0.95	0.96
Portland PB	0.86	0.77	0.83*
Salem PD	N/A	1.18	1.22
Washington Co SO	0.89	0.82	0.84

POST STOP OUTCOMES: SEARCHES, ARRESTS, CITATIONS

### **Propensity Score Matching**

- Ideally, we would like to approximate a random control trial.
- Propensity score matching analysis mimics important aspects of an RCT in cases where random assignment is not possible.
- In effect, you are able to balance your data so you can test for race-based differences.
- For the following analyses, we test for differences across race in:
  - Citations
  - Searches
  - Arrests
  - Any non-warning outcome (i.e., citation, search, or arrest)

List of Variables Used for Matching					
Age	Gender				
Agency	Holiday				
Citation Type	Stop Type				
Daylight	Daily Stop Volume				
Day of the Week	Time of Stop				

POST STOP OUTCOMES: SEARCHES, ARRESTS, CITATIONS

### **Propensity Score Matching**

### • Reading the Results:

- Only statistically significant results are shown
- If there is a gap between our predicted and the actual outcomes for a given racial group, then there is evidence of a disparity.



POST STOP OUTCOMES: SEARCHES, ARRESTS, CITATIONS

		Cita	ation	Se	arch	Aı	rrest	Citation or A	n, Search, Arrest
Agency	Race	Actual	Predicted	Actual	Predicted	Actual	Predicted	Actual	Predicted
Beaverton	Black Hispanic			 7.0%	 5.0%	 6.5%	 5.0%	 39.6%	 36.8%
Clackamas	Black Hispanic								
Eugene	Black Hispanic								
Gresham	Black Hispanic								
Hillsboro	Black Hispanic	 27.6%	 20.2%					 30.1%	 22.4%
Marion	Black Hispanic	 85.9%	 83.4%					 86.2%	 83.7%

POST STOP OUTCOMES: SEARCHES, ARRESTS, CITATIONS

		Citat	tion	Se	arch	Ar	rest	Citatior or A	n, Search, Arrest
Agency	Race	Actual	Predicted	Actual	Predicted	Actual	Predicted	Actual	Predicted
Medford	Black Hispanic								
Multnomah	Black Hispanic	24.6%	20.1%					27.6% 	23.3%
OSP	Black Hispanic	45.4% 45.6%	38.1% 37.7%					47.1% 47.1%	39.8% 39.2%
Portland	Black Hispanic			11.0% 5.9%	4.8% 4.4%	7.4% 	5.5%		
Salem	Black Hispanic	 54.3%	 46.5%					 54.7%	 49.3%
Washington	Black Hispanic	 32.1%	 28.0%			 4.7%	 3.7%	 35.7%	 31.0%

POST STOP OUTCOMES: SEARCHES

### Outcome/Hit Rate Test

- Successful searches should be equal across different races if the decision to search is based on race neutral factors.
- If hit rates are consistently lower for one racial group, the target group is being searched more often than success rates would warrant.
- For example:
  - If searches of whites are successful 60% of the time, but searches of Hispanics are only successful in 10% of cases, then
  - The model would suggest that a different (and less successful) standard is being used when searching Hispanics.



#### POST STOP OUTCOMES: SEARCHES

### Outcome/Hit Rate Test

- For searches of Black individuals, most Tier I agencies are close to the line.
- Portland Police Bureau, however, was found to have a disparity.
  - Initial data analysis found that searches were successful for Blacks in 10.9% of cases, but were successful for Whites in 25.5% of cases.
  - Preliminary analyses of "corrected" data, however, shows that successful searches of Black individuals could be as high as 43.1%, while successful searches of Whites would be 50.5%.



#### Figure 6.3.1. Black KPT Hit-Rate Comparison

### POST STOP OUTCOMES: SEARCHES

### Outcome/Hit Rate Test

- For searches of Hispanic individuals, most Tier I agencies are close to the line, although there was more variation.
- No statistically significant disparities were found.
- Portland Police Bureau:
  - Original Data: White (25.5%), Hispanic (22.5%)
  - Preliminary "Corrected" Data: White (50.5%), Hispanic (47.2%)



POST STOP OUTCOMES: COMPARING OREGON SEARCHES TO OTHER JURISDICTIONS





NOTE: Portland Police Bureau's "Corrected" data was used to construct this figure.

# **OREGON STOP PROGRAM**

### **CONCLUSION & NEXT STEPS**



### CONCLUSION KEY TAKEAWAYS AND NEXT STEPS

- Overall, only one agency met the predefined threshold for further analysis and assistance from DPSST.
- All agencies with even a single identified disparity, however, have expressed a willingness to work with CJC to explore the reasons behind their disparities.
- One particularly promising follow up will be working with agencies to explore new and additional data.

	Citation					
	Race/Ethnicity	Actual	Predicted	Δ		
	Black	45.4%	38.1%*	7.3		
Baseline Analysis	Hispanic	45.6%	37.7%*	7.9		
	Black or Hispanic	45.6%	37.8%*	7.8		