JAIME HERRERA BEUTLER 3RD DISTRICT, SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LABOR, HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES, EDUCATION
AND RELATED AGENCIES
SUBCOMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

U.S. JOINT ECONOMIC COMMITTEE



Congress of the United States House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515-1602

2352 RAYBURN HOB WASHINGTON D.C. 20515 (202) 225-3536

☐ GENERAL O.O. HOWARD HOUSE 750 ANDERSON STREET, SUITE B VANCOUVER, WA 98661 (360) 695-6292

www.jhb.house.gov

December 14, 2020

Mr. Roger Millar Secretary Washington State Dept. of Transportation 310 Maple Park Avenue SE P.O. Box 47300 Olympia, WA 98504-7300

Mr. Greg Johnson Administrator I-5 Bridge Replacement Program 11018 NE 51st Circle Vancouver, WA 98682

Dear Secretary Millar and Administrator Johnson,

I want to first of all thank you for briefing me on the I-5 bridge replacement process last week. Through our discussions to date, you've demonstrated a sincere commitment to an improved I-5 bridge across the Columbia River.

I want to reiterate a point we discussed that I believe would aid this process and alleviate a growing concern being expressed to me by our communities that not enough is being done to ensure that this process will avoid the pitfalls that ultimately doomed the Columbia River Crossing (CRC). I implore you to add a Commuter Representative Advisory Group to your community engagement organization that will provide honest and direct input on behalf of daily car commuters who are and will continue to be the largest users of the bridge.

In recent years, I've heard some claim that a few individual legislators "killed the CRC" at the 11th hour, but such a revisionist view brushes over critical errors that were made in the planning stages of the CRC that led to its demise. For instance, it wasn't until 2012 that Clark County citizens were finally granted a county-wide vote on whether they supported light rail as part of the project (they rejected it – and again in 2013 by a double-digit margin). And recall that it wasn't until seven years into the process before it was discovered that the bridge design was too low to accommodate vessels critical to regional commerce. The two are related, since light rail was a factor in limiting design flexibility.

The lack of critically-minded commuter representatives in the current process is an omission that could be fatal to the project. Recall that the CRC boasted of the number of listening sessions, advisory panels and citizen engagement activities it held, yet it still managed to ignore community opposition to major components of the project.

Please avoid repeating that mistake. Instead, **bring to the process** *now* **citizens who will give blunt and honest feedback about mass transit, tolling, lane capacity, and costs.** There is no value to commuter representatives in name only who are polite, quiet, and don't raise objections

on these issues. Consider giving a formal role to the engaged critics and citizen watchdogs of the CRC project. Invite the messy and honest conversations now. Highlight their feedback, rather than burying it in the footnotes of a report. Most importantly, listen to and heed what these people have to say.

Additionally, you communicated to me a December 18 deadline for considering advisory members. That short timeline is incompatible with the glaring need I've outlined. I urge you to extend this deadline to recruit and interview qualified citizens to serve as commuter representative advisory members. A short extension of the process now to fully engage Southwest Washington commuters could very well prevent having the project derailed later on after multiple years and hundreds of millions of dollars spent.

I am certain you've brought all of the engineers, consultants and organized interest groups the project will ever need into the process. What's needed now is a voice for the tens of thousands of Southwest Washington commuters who drive across the I-5 bridge every day.

Sincerely,

Jaime Herrera Beutler Member of Congress

Jaime Herrera Beutler