

This is my public testimony for the 4 dangerous proposals/bills below, LC 742, LC 743, LC 745, LC 762.

Dear legislators,

I seriously urge the Oregon legislature to kill/ vote NO on the 4 dangerous proposals/bills below as they stand that will lead to good law enforcement personnel (the other 99.9% that aren't thugs with badges) getting harrassed, hurt, and killed.

LC 742 This bill/proposal regulating the use of chemical incapacitants, kinetic impact projectiles and sound devices by law enforcement agencies is gonna lead to uncontrollable riots that are gonna result in serious property damage, physical harm to civilian non-criminals, rioting criminals, and law enforcement, not to mention thefts, robberies, and arson that could have all been prevented by appropriate actions being taken prior by law enforcement. Law enforcement declare crowds/individuals to be unlawful and order them to stop and leave the area before these objects are used, that means everyone that remains is not protesters but criminals!! If out of control leftist politicians tie the officers hands behind their backs it's gonna lead to alot of preventable crime and lots of injuries/deaths. Stop excusing violence and lawlessness and being sympathisers to BLM and Antifa Marxists/terrorists. There is a difference between protests and riots, learn the difference and BE BETTER!!

LC 743 I am all for having requirements for law enforcement to have to display identifying information on peace officer uniforms with the exception of names during large scale protests/riots that are suspected of being very hostile towards law enforcement as this will likely lead to them being doxxed and them and their families being marked and targeted for harassment and assaults. I am completely against disclosing identifying information of law enforcement from these kind of events to public upon request unless a crime has been committed and an individual has pressed charges or a complaint (with evidence) for the same reasons I mentioned above.

LC 745 I take a very serious issue with a law saying that peace officers or corrections officers may not use force that impedes normal breathing or circulation of blood of another person by applying pressure on throat or neck under any circumstances. I'm all for extreme limitations on these kinds of holds but if it's a 1 on 1 scenario where the officer is fighting for his life and has no access to his weapons and tools then you have just successfully highly increased the chances of that officer getting killed. None of you have probably been in these kinds of fights for your life before but I have. Whoever proposed this bill is an ass hole and a moron, I wont sugar coat it and apply my filter on this one or gonna get people seriously hurt or killed with this one.

LC 762 I am against any bill that requires a database to be made for complete public reporting of complaints, allegations, charges, disciplinary proceedings, certain judicial findings and prosecutorial determinations of unreliability, suspensions and revocations of certification and certain resignations of public safety employees to department for inclusion in database. This is far to broad and sweeping and criminals could use this to harrass, extort, and manipulate officers who do their jobs effectively and the criminals would like to see off the streets/out of the prisons. I could agree with a database that includes convictions of cops/corrections officers, or of problems related to officers where there is a finding of guilt/wrong doing by law enforcement while on the job.

In closing, what I am seeing in these 4 bills is a very partisan hit list against our law enforcement community that satisfies the wishes of the extreme left progressives of the democratic party. These 4 proposals as they stand are terrible ideas that will have disastrous consequences for both people and property and it will also effectively kill off proactive policing and even have a huge disastrous and even deadly affect on reactive policing, people will get hurt, people will die, and lives will be

ruined from these proposals. I urge you to seriously think about these proposals and the consequences of them and not just act on emotion and want to appease your supporters/donors. Thank you.

-Chad from Albany, Oregon