

### **Bi-State Legislative Committee Engagement**

Program development will involve close coordination with many entities, including regional, state and federal stakeholders

 Bi-state legislative committee engagement to provide direction and guidance will be a key component of moving the program forward

The following sections outline the content of three background documents provided to committee members for context:

- 1. Program Action Approval Roles (Approvals Overview)
- 2. Planning Phase Bi-State Legislative Committee Engagement (Work Narrative)
- 3. Planning Calendar

## **Program Action Approval Roles**

### DRAFT - Official Program Action Approval Roles

Interstate Bridge Replacement Program

The Interstate Bridge Replacement Program will involve coordination with many entities, including ongoing direction from the legislative assemblies of both states and guidance by official bodies and their representatives. This document lists several official actions that will be necessary to support continued program development as well as eventual construction and operation. Ongoing guidance and coordination with federal agencies, state legislatures and local partners is essential to build towards these formal actions such that the direction of the program aligns with priorities of these entities.

Official Program Action	Oregon Entity with Authority	Washington Entity with Authority	Other Entity with Authority
State funding (program development)	OTC or Oregon Legislature*	Washington Legislature	
State funding (Right of way and Construction*) *includes varying degrees of detail on scope, schedule/phasing and budget	Oregon Legislature	Washington Legislature	
NEPA approvals (Purpose and Need, Supplemental Draft ElS, Final Supplemental ElS, Record of Decision)	ODOT (via stewardship agreements with FHWA/FTA)	WSDOT (via stewardship agreements with FHWA/FTA)	FHWA/FTA (NEPA nominal federal leads)
Authorization to toll for project funding	Oregon Legislature/OTC**	Washington Legislature	Federal law or FHWA
Authorization to borrow against toll revenue	Oregon Legislature	Washington Legislature	Coordination b/t DOTs and State Treasury Offices
Toll rate setting	OTC (per a toll rate setting agreement between the states)	WSTC (per a toll rate setting agreement between the states)	

<sup>\*</sup>The Oregon Transportation Commission has authority to allocate discretionary funds in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program and can likely cover program development costs from these discretionary funds, but the Oregon Legislature could choose to allocate funding for program development.



<sup>\*\*</sup>The Oregon Transportation Commission has statutory authority to toll state highways in Oregon, but the Oregon Legislature may choose to provide direction on tolling in statute.

### **Program Action Approval Roles**

This overview is intended to outline the entities with approval authority for a sample of official program actions that will be necessary

- Close coordination with federal agencies, state legislatures and local partners is essential to support these formal actions
- Ongoing bi-state legislative committee engagement will be critical to build towards formal legislative actions and align the program with legislative priorities

### **Program Action Approval Roles**

Official Action	Oregon Authority	Washington Authority	Other Authority
State funding (program development)	OTC or Oregon Legislature*	Washington Legislature	
State funding (right of way and construction)	Oregon Legislature	Washington Legislature	
NEPA approvals (Purpose and Need, EIS, Record of Decision)	ODOT**	WSDOT**	FHWA/FTA

<sup>\*</sup>The Oregon Transportation Commission has authority to allocate discretionary funds in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program, or the Oregon Legislature could choose to allocate funding for program development.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Via stewardship agreements with FHWA/FTA



### **Program Action Approval Process**

Official Action	Oregon Authority	Washington Authority	Other Authority
Authorization to toll for project funding	Oregon Legislature/OTC*	Washington Legislature	Federal law or FHWA
Authorization to borrow against toll revenue	Oregon Legislature	Washington Legislature	Coordination b/t DOTs and State Treasury Offices
Toll rate setting	OTC**	WSTC**	

<sup>\*</sup>OTC has statutory authority to toll state highways in Oregon, but the Oregon Legislature may choose to provide direction on tolling in statute.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Per a toll rate setting agreement between the states







# **Discussion**

### Planning Phase Bi-State Legislative Committee Engagement

### Interstate Bridge Replacement Program – Planning Phase Bi-State Legislative Committee Engagement

A successful Interstate Bridge Replacement Program (IBRP) depends upon regular, informed engagement and communication between the state DDTs, the Bi-State Legislative Committee, key partners, and the public. As the IBRP moves into the planning phase, key points and topics of engagement lie ahead to review previous work, offer feedback on approaches moving forward, and provide guidance and direction on critical pathway decisions that will become foundational program components.

In addition to providing substantive policy and program-specific direction, the Bi-State Legislative Committee will also directly engage with regional advisory groups and the community.

As the program transitions into the planning phase beginning summer 2020, key points of legislative engagement include:

- · Identifying the program Vision and Values Statement
- · Re-evaluating the Program Purpose and Need
- Developing the program Community Engagement Plan
- Beginning program NEPA work

### Vision and Value

The Vision and Values Statement provides the foundation for developing criteria and performance measures that program alternatives are evaluated against. The program team will develop an approach, in coordination with the bi-state legislative committee, key partners, and the community, to identify the IBRP Vision and Values Statement. The program team will solicit feedback, seek guidance and direction from the bi-state legislative committee, and ultimately request endorsement of the developed approach, the final Statement, and the performance measures.

Target date: Dec 2020

### Key questions:

- · What are the qualities desired from the project?
- Does the final draft Vision and Values reflect feedback received?
- Are the performance measurements derived from the Vision and Values representative of this
  document?
- · Are the performance measurements applied in a representative way?

### Tasks/Deliverables

- Review previous Vision and Values Statement and provide feedback on the approach to develop
  the Vision and Values Statement for IRBP (Aug 2020)
- Provide guidance and direction on the DRAFT IBRP Vision and Values Statement (Oct 2020)
- Final Vision and Values Statement (Dec 2020)
- Performance Measures (March 2021)

### Purpose and Need<sup>1</sup>

The Purpose and Need Statement describes the transportation problems a program must address. Once finalized, this statement is used throughout program development as a tool to validate, eliminate, or modify ideas based on each ideas' capacity to address the transportation problems identified in the Purpose and Need. The program team will develop an approach, in coordination with the bi-state legislative committee, key partners, and the community, to identify the IBRP Purpose and Need Statement. The program team will solicit feedback, seek guidance and direction from the bi-state legislative committee, and ultimately request endorsement of the developed approach and the final Statement.

Target date: Dec 2020

### Kev auestion

- Since the previous work ended, have the problems been addressed such that they should no longer be included in the Purpose and Need statement?
- Are there new transportation problems in the project area that should be added to the Purpose and Need statement for IBRP?
- Is the draft final Purpose and Need Statement reflective of the problems today and the feedback received through the public process to develop it?

### Bi-State Legislative Committee Touchpoint:

- Review previous Purpose and Need and provide feedback on the approach to develop the Purpose and Need Statement for IRBP (Aug 2020)
- Provide guidance and direction on draft iBRP Purpose and Need Statement (Oct 2020)
- Endorse Final Purpose and Need Statement (Dec 2020)

### Community Engagement Plan

Extensive, equitable, and inclusive community engagement is critical to identify a solution that reflects community values and can earn broad regional support. A comprehensive community engagement program will be developed and implemented to ensure ongoing, meaningful dialogue with a broad range of stakeholders, including communities within the Interstate Bridge corridor and currently and historically underrepresented and underserved populations. The program team will lead implementation of these efforts with guidance and direction from the bi-state legislative committee and advisory groups.

Taraet Date: Dec 2020

### Key auestions

- · What qualitative expectations do you have for community engagement on this program?
- Are there unique considerations the community engagement approach should address?





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Purpose and Need Statement is required document as part of the federal NEPA process.

## Planning Phase Bi-State Legislative Committee Engagement

As the program transitions into the planning phase of work, bi-state legislative committee engagement will initially include the following key topics:

- Identifying the program Vision and Values Statement
- Re-evaluating the Program Purpose and Need
- Developing the program Community Engagement Plan
- Beginning program NEPA work

### Planning Phase Bi-State Legislative Committee Engagement

Bi-state legislative committee engagement will include several touchpoints for each of the key topics to:

- Review previous work
- Offer feedback on approaches moving forward
- Provide guidance and direction on critical pathway decisions





# **Discussion**

			2020			
	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER
IBRP Legislative Milestones (ESHB1160)	Re-engage program stakeholders     Re-evaluate program purpose and need     Re-evaluate environmental permits					Conceptual finance plan - completed
Initiating Program Work	Introduce Program Administra     Discuss roles/responsibilities of WSDOT, ESG					
Vision and Values		Review Vision and Values from previous planning efforts     Provide feedback on approach for IBRP Vision and Values		Provide guidance and direction on Draft IBRP Vision and Values		Endorse final IBRP Vision and Values
Purpose and Need		Review Purpose and Need from previous planning efforts     Provide feedback on approach for IBRP Purpose and Need		Provide guidance and direction on Draft IBRP Purpose and Need		Endorse final IBRP Purpose and Need
Community Engagement Plan		Review community     engagement from previous     planning efforts     Provide feedback on approach     for IBRP engagement plan		Provide guidance and direction on Draft IBRP Community Engagement Plan		Endorse final IBRP     Community Engagement     Plan
High Capacity Transit Mode			Review HCT alternatives analysis from previous planning efforts	Review approach and provide guidance and direction on identifying HCT alternatives to be analyzed		
River Crossing Configuration and Type			Review river crossing alternatives from previous planning efforts	<ul> <li>Review approach and provide guidance and direction on identifying river crossing configuration and type</li> </ul>		
Program Finance Plan		Review finance plans from previous planning efforts     Provide Feedback on approach for finance plan		Provide feedback and guidance on potential sources of funding	Provide feedback, guidance, and direction on Conceptual Program Finance Plan	Endorse conceptual finance plan
Target Milestone submitted to FHWA for repayment extension	Begin NEPA re-evaluation					
IBRP Program Development					Identify and obtain new data that is crossing alternatives for IBRP	required to develop HCT and river

August

September

October

November

Topic	Engagement Point and Activity	
Vision and Values	Review previous planning efforts for each and	
Purpose and Need	provide feedback on approaches to develop	
Community	new IBRP:	
Engagement Plan	<ul> <li>Vision and Values</li> </ul>	
Conceptual Finance Plan	Purpose and Need	
	Community Engagement Plan	
	Conceptual Finance Plan	

August

September

October

November

Topic	Engagement Point and Activity
High Capacity Transit Mode	Review previous planning efforts for:
River Crossing Configuration and Type	<ul><li>High Capacity Transit alternatives analysis</li><li>River crossing alternatives</li></ul>

August

September

October

Novembei

Topic	Engagement Point and Activity
Vision and Values	Provide guidance and direction on draft Vision
Purpose and Need	and Values, Purpose and Need, and
Community Engagement Plan	Community Engagement Plan
Conceptual Program Finance Plan	Provide feedback and guidance on potential funding sources
High Capacity Transit Mode	Provide guidance on approaches to identify:
River Crossing Configuration and Type	<ul><li>HCT alternatives to be analyzed</li><li>River crossing configuration and type</li></ul>

August

September

October

November

Topic	Engagement Point and Activity
Conceptual Program Finance Plan	Provide feedback, guidance and direction on Conceptual Program Finance Plan

August

September

October

November

Topic	Engagement Point and Activity
Vision and Values	Endorse final Vision and Values,
Purpose and Need	Purpose and Need, and Community
Community Engagement Plan	Engagement Plan
Conceptual Finance Plan	Endorse Conceptual Finance Plan
Legislative Milestones (ESHB 1160)	<ul> <li>Complete Conceptual Finance Plan</li> <li>Progress report due to governors and transportation committees</li> </ul>



# **Discussion**



