From: <u>JandABullard@smt-net.com</u>

To: SENR Exhibits

**Subject:** Another "emergency"?

**Date:** Monday, January 27, 2020 8:39:22 PM

The difference between incompetence and malfeasance is intention. You who support this cap and trade effort have both sides of that covered.

In the pending "short session" it is apparent that there is no shortage of hubris and legislative malfeasance regarding the effort to forward cap and trade again as an "emergency." The short session was never intended to deal with revenue bills. Furthermore, exactly how do you claim an emergency to justify raising taxes? Make no mistake this is a taxation measure: You are forwarding a legislative contrivance to compel the taxpayers to provide more revenues. It's a tax bill: I doesn't make any difference what color lipstick you put on it. The fact that you will not acknowledge that, or perhaps are incompetent to recognize that doesn't change that fact. "We the people" who will be penalized in this fraud actually do know what you are doing. More despicably, you are playing off one part of the population against another by exempting one demographic over another and suppressing referendum to get away with it.

There is no emergency and it makes no difference how you parse your political definition of "emergency." It's a fraudulent contrivance. It's simply suppression of referendum to prevent the voters from killing your special interest malfeasance.

The "emergency clause" exists to provide immediate authority to <u>re-appropriate</u>" <u>already budgeted</u> <u>revenues to deal with a defined emergency situation. It's not about raising taxes and fees.</u> Interestingly, your incompetence to deal with your responsibilities outside of contrived emergencies suggests that none of you supporting this malfeasance has any business being there. Either that or you lend new understanding to the notions of legislative malfeasance and fraud. As people who are involved in the business of legislation, you sure don't seem to know much about it.

You are apparently aware that there is a price to pay for this fraud and legislative malfeasance. This is an open effort to subvert the population of this state. Short of that, explain why this cap and trade fraud and your contrived and undefined emergency should exempt the rural population and land on the urban and suburban populations.

We get it: The proposal will under-fund the commitments for the new bureaucracies so you will be back next year with another proposal funded on another bogus emergency declaration. As a rural Oregonian, I see that very clearly.

If you think for one second that exempting the rural population, largely the industrial and agricultural sectors, will preclude another mass protest of farming and logging trucks and a fseveral thousand seriously upset Oregon voters from surrounding the capitol to explain it to you AGAIN, you are quite delusional. We are one people and we are in protest of your legislative malfeasance as well as that of the governor.

Your option? Drop this cap and trade legislative malfeasance and do it now. You could also drop the

fraudulent "emergency" claim and "we the people" will demonstrate all the rest of this to you in referendum. If it goes to referendum you will lose.

You are reminded here in this public forum that a lot of the people on whom you scheme to raise the cost of living VOTED FOR YOU. Think your way through that.

Joe Bullard Scio, Oregon