

**From:** [Tammie McKenzie](#)  
**To:** [SJUD Exhibits](#)  
**Subject:** Legislative Concept 38  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 15, 2020 5:23:24 AM

---

Having the ability to defend myself is in the Constitution. Locking my firearms impedes my ability to do so. Transferring them with locks and making me liable for what ever happens with them for two years is not feasible. Also what does the Oregon Health Athority have to do with designing locks. Health has nothing to do with locks.

Taking away a person's judgement of a minors ability to use a firearm while unsupervised is not the legislature's business. The legislature could not know every reason why I would choose to give that ability to a minor. Including being able to defend themselves when I was not there. Making laws like these would in my opinion make the legislature personally liable for what happens to people who are hurt because of them. Having no one from the other side of this concept speaks loudly about the ability to defend the undefendable.t [from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)