

RE; HB 4145

I am testifying in opposition to this bill for multiple reasons including:

-Every session this legislature appears to have a need to be a bill that erodes citizens right to bear arms. The only purpose appears to be political in nature to draw out liberal voters.

--If indeed this bill were intended to reduce crime, this legislature would determine if the crime rate in Oregon has actually gone down as a result of previous bills. In other areas, the crime rate has increased after strict gun control bills have gone into effect.

The language of this bill will result in Placing multiple additional and overlapping reporting and record keeping requirements on police departments which will take resources away from providing protection to citizens. It also will result in inflated statistics regarding the number and types of denials. It also appears to fail to address denials by illegal aliens.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOLLOWING A COURT ORDER: State police must IMMEDIATELY report terms and findings of misdemeanor crime of domestic violence (someone has to read the court order)

1. Report to Law Enforcement Data System
2. Report to National Crime Information Center the record of conviction IMMEDIATELY including terms and findings of misdemeanor crime of domestic violence.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOLLOWING AN ATTEMPT TO PURCHASE BY AN INELIGIBLE PERSON;
(Must occur within 24 hrs. of receiving information)

B. State police must report to:

1. U S attorney for Oregon
2. All state and local law enforcement agencies where attempt occurred
3. All state and local law enforcement agencies where person lives.
4. If person is prohibited due to probation supervision or parole, report to supervising officer.
5. If court order prohibits purchase, report to court issuing order.
6. If person is under Psychiatric Review Board, report to board.

Section (6)(h)(i)(A through D) ANNUAL REPORTING WILL RESULT IN OVERSTATING NUMBERS

Requires annual report from law enforcement agencies, and prosecuting attorney's who receive a report. The same event is required to be reported in annual statistics by:

1. State police where event occurred
2. State police where person lives

3. Local police where request was made
4. Local police where requestor lives
5. Prosecuting attorney

FOLLOWING ARE MY CONCERNS WITH THIS BILL BASED UPON THE ABOVE INFORMATION:

--Is there a purposeful attempt to inflate data by having up to 5 agencies reporting on the same event?

--What is the economic impact of requiring up to 5 agencies to research, track and report this information?

--How many resources are not available to the communities as a result of this single bill requiring research, notification and reporting?

--Are you planning to provide funding for this additional workload?

--Are you planning to require the same reporting for illegal immigrants?

--Are you planning to exempt illegal aliens from all these reporting requirements?

--Given the level of crime being committed by illegal aliens, why are you not requiring that these events be reported to Immigration and Naturalization? Aren't these the "criminals" that you need to be protecting the public from?

I WOULD HOPE THIS COMMITTEE WOULD GIVE SERIOUS CONSIDERATION TO THE ABOVE COMMENTS.

Irene Gilbert

2310 Adams Ave

La Grande, Oregon 97850