House Bill 2095

Introduced and printed pursuant to House Rule 12.00. Presession filed (at the request of House Interim Committee on Rural Communities, Land Use and Water)

SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure **as introduced**.

Allows metropolitan service district to revise demonstration of sufficient buildable lands on single occasion under certain circumstances and to expand urban growth boundary if metropolitan service district concludes expansion is warranted.

1

A BILL FOR AN ACT

Relating to amendment to an urban growth boundary by a metropolitan service district based on a
one-time revision of the most recent demonstration of sufficient buildable lands; amending ORS

4 197.299.

5 Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

6 **SECTION 1.** ORS 197.299 is amended to read:

197.299. (1) A metropolitan service district organized under ORS chapter 268 shall complete the
inventory, determination and analysis required under ORS 197.296 (3) not later than six years after
completion of the previous inventory, determination and analysis.

(2)(a) The metropolitan service district shall take such action as necessary under ORS 197.296
(6)(a) to accommodate one-half of a 20-year buildable land supply determined under ORS 197.296 (3)
within one year of completing the analysis.

(b) The metropolitan service district shall take all final action under ORS 197.296 (6)(a) necessary to accommodate a 20-year buildable land supply determined under ORS 197.296 (3) within two
years of completing the analysis.

(c) The metropolitan service district shall take action under ORS 197.296 (6)(b), within one year
after the analysis required under ORS 197.296 (3)(b) is completed, to provide sufficient buildable land
within the urban growth boundary to accommodate the estimated housing needs for 20 years from
the time the actions are completed. The metropolitan service district shall consider and adopt new
measures that the governing body deems appropriate under ORS 197.296 (6)(b).

(3) The Land Conservation and Development Commission may grant an extension to the time limits of subsection (2) of this section if the Director of the Department of Land Conservation and Development determines that the metropolitan service district has provided good cause for failing to meet the time limits.

(4)(a) The metropolitan service district shall establish a process to expand the urban growth boundary to accommodate a need for land for a public school that cannot reasonably be accommodated within the existing urban growth boundary. The metropolitan service district shall design the process to:

(A) Accommodate a need that must be accommodated between periodic analyses of urban growth
 boundary capacity required by subsection (1) of this section; and

HB 2095

1 (B) Provide for a final decision on a proposal to expand the urban growth boundary within four 2 months after submission of a complete application by a large school district as defined in ORS 3 195.110.

4 (b) At the request of a large school district, the metropolitan service district shall assist the 5 large school district to identify school sites required by the school facility planning process de-6 scribed in ORS 195.110. A need for a public school is a specific type of identified land need under 7 ORS 197.298 (3).

8 (5) Three years after completing its most recent demonstration of sufficient buildable 9 lands under ORS 197.296, a metropolitan service district may, on a single occasion, revise the 10 determination and analysis required as part of the demonstration for the purpose of consid-11 ering an amendment to the metropolitan service district's urban growth boundary, provided: 12 (a) A city within the metropolitan service district has proposed a development that would 13 require expansion of the urban growth boundary;

(b) The city proposing the development has provided evidence to the metropolitan service
 district that the proposed development would provide additional needed housing to the needed
 housing included in the most recent determination and analysis;

(c) The location chosen for the proposed development is adjacent to the city proposing
 the development; and

(d) The location chosen for the proposed development is located within an area designated
 as an urban reserve.

(6)(a) If a metropolitan service district, after revising its most recent determination and analysis pursuant to subsection (5) of this section, concludes that an expansion of its urban growth boundary is warranted, the metropolitan service district may take action to expand its urban growth boundary to accommodate the proposed development, provided the urban growth boundary expansion does not exceed 1000 acres.

(b) A metropolitan service district that expands its urban growth boundary under this
 subsection is exempt from the boundary location requirements described in the statewide
 land use planning goals relating to urbanization.

29