

FISCAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

79th Oregon Legislative Assembly – 2017 Regular Session
Legislative Fiscal Office

Measure: HB 3434

***Only Impacts on Original or Engrossed
Versions are Considered Official***

Prepared by: Meg Bushman-Reinhold
Reviewed by: Steve Bender, Theresa McHugh
Date: 5/2/2017

Measure Description:

Extends sunset date for public safety fiscal emergency program for counties.

Government Unit(s) Affected:

Statewide, Office of the Governor, counties

Analysis:

The fiscal impact of the measure is indeterminate. The unknown or undefined elements in the bill that preclude the ability to estimate the cost are discussed below:

The measure extends the sunset date from January 2, 2018 to January 2, 2024 for provisions that allows the Governor the power to act on behalf of counties in the event of a public safety fiscal emergency. The number of public safety fiscal emergencies that would be declared, if the measure becomes law, is unknown. After consultation with the Senate President, the Majority and Minority Leaders of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the Majority and Minority Leaders of the House of Representatives, and each Senator an Representative whose district is wholly or partially within a county that is subject to the public safety emergency, and following the proclamation of such an emergency, the Governor, after obtaining written authorization signed by the majority of the governing body of each local government subject to the proclamation, may enter into a written intergovernmental agreement with any other local government for the performance of activities related to public safety. The statutes specifically declare that the provisions contained in the Act should be construed liberally and the term “public safety” is not defined in the measure, therefore it is unknown what services would be provided under the intergovernmental agreements.

The provisions being extended require that half of the costs to provide these public safety services shall be paid by the state and the other half shall be paid by the counties that are parties to the intergovernmental agreement. The bill allows for the county portion to be funded by a telecommunications tax, an income tax on both personal and corporate income (unless specifically prohibited by county charter or other otherwise), any lawful county assessment, existing county revenue, or any combination of these sources. The Act also amended statutes so that a tax adopted by a county as provided under this bill is not required to be approved by the electors of a county before taking effect. The revenues and expenditures are to be apportioned among the parties, but it does not provide specifically which party would pay. It is unclear if the county providing the services would also be required to pay for the services and whether the imposition of additional taxes, assessments and so forth would apply to all parties in the agreement. There is no source of funding, or mechanism for funding the state portion of the costs in the measure, nor does the measure make an allowance for the cost to the state to administer the agreements or provide subsequent support for the agreements such as the collection and distribution of the surtaxes by the Department of Revenue.