

From the Desk of
Senator Brian Boquist

From:

Sent: Wednesday, April 19, 2017 3:21 PM

To: Sen Boquist <Sen.BrianBoquist@oregonlegislature.gov>

Subject: ex parte hearings for gun confiscation

What part of the second amendment do you not understand? **It is none of your business if someone decides they don't care to live any longer.** Remember, this is Oregon and people have that right in this state. People will use whatever tool is at hand when that moment comes. **Just because your son used a gun doesn't mean you can confiscate them from everyone else.** What if he had driven his car off a cliff? Would you confiscate cars or demand people whose properties have a cliff must give their property to the state? It only sounds absurd because it is, just like your dumb idea.

You should change your affiliation to demoncrap because you sure don't represent republicans. I'm sure you realize you will never be reelected so, **Good Riddance you worthless piece of crap.**

Just,

From: Brian Boquist [mailto:myvoice@oneclickpolitics.com]

Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 4:29 PM

To: Brian Boquist <Sen.BrianBoquist@state.or.us>

Subject: Oppose SB 719- Secret Gun Ban Orders !

Re: Oppose SB 719- Secret Gun Ban Orders !

Dear Brian Boquist,

I am a supporter of Firearms Policy Coalition and I write today to oppose SB 719 (Sen. Jud. & Sen. Boquist), a measure that was " gut-and-stuffed" after hours to create a secret process for obtaining "extreme risk protection orders" that lead to the confiscation of firearms from unaware Oregonians who are not allowed to confront their accusers or afforded any due process.

- SB 719 would violate my due process rights and put Oregonians at risk because it;
- SB 719 offers no assessment, counseling, follow-up, intervention or treatment for a victim of an Extreme Risk Protection Order--implying this person is not at all an "extreme risk",
- SB 719 denies the victim of an order the right to confront their accuser,
- SB 719 denies the victim of an order the right to counsel,
- SB 719 denies the victim of an order an evaluation by a professional in the field of the supposed risk,
- SB 719 denies the victim of an order the right to trial by jury,
- SB 719 denies the victim of an order any notification that hearing is taking place,
- SB 719 victims are threatened with prison time, rather than professional help,
- Orders are issued in secret--until the subject is told there is something wrong--a surefire way to upset someone the state has determined to be an "extreme risk", potentially creating more problems,
- SB 719 allows potentially hundreds of people to request orders and present hearsay evidence,
- SB 719 allows for "evidence" to include most any transgression, going back years, and even decades, including a DUI,
- SB 719 claims "evidence" of risk includes merely the lawful purchase of a firearm
- SB 719 Will cost an estimated \$600,000 of general fund money annually, as well as, unknown costs to local law enforcement to forcibly confiscate, store and return firearms.

Conclusion: SB 719 goes against our values as Oregonians and must be rejected.

Please OPPOSE this unconstitutional infringement when it comes up for a vote!

Sincerely,

Brian Boquist

sen.brianboquist@oregonlegislature.gov

900 Court Street

Salem, OR 97338

Constituent

Prepared by One Click Politics(tm) at www.oneclickpolitics.com. For information regarding this service, please contact admin@oneclickpolitics.com