

From: [Rep Power](#)
To: [JWMNR Exhibits](#)
Subject: FW: I Support HB 2007
Date: Thursday, June 22, 2017 9:07:02 AM

Matt and Julie,

Can you please submit this constituent's email to the public record on OLIS? Thank you.

Best,

Alexa H. Jakusovszky, Legislative Aide (she/her)
Representative Karin Power | Oregon House District 41
Facebook | Twitter | Rep.KarinPower@oregonlegislature.gov | 503.986.1441

Please note that all emails sent to and from this email address are shared among Representative Power and her staff, and may be subject to disclosure under Oregon public records laws.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dan Pence [<mailto:pence@pacifier.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 5:20 PM
To: Rep Power <Rep.KarinPower@oregonlegislature.gov>
Subject: Re: I Support HB 2007

Alexa,

Can you transfer my email somehow so it counts as public testimony? I thought sending a letter to my elected representative would count for something. Am I wrong? Or do I need to cut and paste it and send it to this other email? Does each bill have a different email account?

Dan Pence

Sent from my iPad

> On Jun 21, 2017, at 1:06 PM, Rep Power <Rep.KarinPower@oregonlegislature.gov> wrote:

>

> Dan,

>

> Thank you for reaching out to our office about this pressing issue. We appreciate you voicing your support of this bill, as Representative Power tracks all input we receive from constituents. Representative Power believes that everyone deserves the right to a safe, affordable place to call home. She is actively addressing numerous facets of our housing crisis this legislative session, in order to make this dream a reality for all Oregonians. Representative Power is supportive of the HB 2007A's intention to create more affordable housing options, but has concerns with the bill's current format. We have been monitoring this bill very closely, and are evaluating the most recent set of proposed amendments and their impact on our district.

>

> HB 2007A has a public hearing scheduled in the Joint Committee on Ways and Means Subcommittee on Natural Resources tomorrow. Rep. Power is a member of this committee and will be hearing all testimony presented. I would encourage you to subscribe to email updates for HB 2007A using the Oregon Legislative Information System (OLIS). You can subscribe to email updates toward the top right corner of this page: <https://olis.leg.state.or.us/liz/2017R1/Measures/Overview/HB2007> . You can also submit written testimony to the bill to get your comments on the public record by emailing it to Julie and Matt at: jwmnr.exhibits@oregonlegislature.gov .

>

> Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions or concerns, or if we can be of assistance to you or your family.

>
> Best,
> Alexa H. Jakusovszky, Legislative Aide (she/her) Representative Karin
> Power | Oregon House District 41 Facebook | Twitter |
> Rep.KarinPower@oregonlegislature.gov | 503.986.1441
>
> Please note that all emails sent to and from this email address are shared among Representative Power and her staff, and may be subject to disclosure under Oregon public records laws.
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Dan Pence [<mailto:pence@pacifier.com>]
> Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2017 8:07 AM
> To: Rep Power <Rep.KarinPower@oregonlegislature.gov>
> Subject: I Support HB 2007
>
> Dear Representative Power,
>
> I live in Eastmoreland and know too well the ridiculous nature of the Historic District designation. A handful of people on an unrepresentative board rammed thru our application for HD. No poll was required (the poll was taken too late and the majority voted against the HD). The designation adds onerous restrictions and fees to homeowners doing remodeling work and takes away ownership rights.
> If the Historic designation was just honorary, or if it was achieved democratically with the approval of affected residents, I would support it. But it wasn't and isn't and so I'm including the letter below which I am in agreement.
>
> Dan Pence
> 7505 SE 36th Ave
> Portland OR
> 97202
> 503)348-0359
>
> I write in support of HB 2007, in particular the inclusion of language that separates National Park Service (NPS) historic district designation from local land use restrictions.
>
> The NPS is an honorary designation, and the distinction process is set up to be relatively easy to establish. There is a very undemocratic process - over half the owners in a proposed district must sign a notarized objection to prevent the district designation. If you do not submit a notarized objection, you are counted as a supporter.
>
> For an honorary designation, that may be fine. But when local land-use restrictions are linked to the national honorary designation, property rights of home owners are reduced in a very undemocratic way. I feel this is not appropriate.
>
> I support including in HB2007 the language the disconnects NPS historic districts from local land-use restrictions.
>
> Thank you for your consideration.
>
> Sincerely
> Dan Pence
> 7505 SE 36th Ave
> Portland, OR
> 97202
>
>
> Sent from my iPad
>

