

From: Kelly Gillard
To: [Rep. Sanchez](#); [Sen. Frederick](#); Rep.BradWitt@state.or.us; Sen.FredGirod@state.or.us; [Sen. Taylor](#); Rep.SalEsquivel@state.or.us; rep.kenhelm@state.or.us; [Rep. Lewis](#); [Rep Power](#); [JWMNR Exhibits](#)
Subject: HB 2007 - FIX IT or VOTE NO!
Date: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 10:22:30 AM

Dear Legislators,

If you share our goal of creating **more affordable housing**, increasing **density without demolition**, and **conserving the historic character** of our older neighborhoods, **you must adopt Restore Oregon's amendments to FIX HB 2007:**

1. Focus incentives on the creation of **affordable** housing, not market-rate housing.
2. Stop tear downs of good modest-priced homes, unless they're being replaced with multiple affordable units.
3. Enable the internal conversion of existing houses into as many as four units without triggering the cost-prohibitive commercial building code. This adds density while retaining character.
4. Leave in place baseline protections for new historic districts, while providing incentives for ADUs, internal conversions, and compatible infill.

As it stands, HB 2007 is based on FALSE PREMISES:

1. That simply building more housing – even if it's at high market rates – will have the trickle-down effect of creating more affordability.
 - There is NO EVIDENCE this has worked in other cities. One need only look at San Francisco and Vancouver.
2. That we have a shortage of market rate housing in Portland and other major metro areas.
 - Market rate housing is doing fine – there's even an over-supply at the higher end.
 - We do not have enough AFFORDABLE housing. Building more high-end homes will not help that
3. That there is insufficient buildable land inside the UGB.
 - Nope. The central city is already dense, but outer neighborhoods have lots of opportunities for development and deserve investment.
4. That designation of historic districts is being used as a mechanism for blocking density and affordable housing.
 - Historic designation does NOT prohibit accessory dwelling units (ADUs) or infill development. Some historic districts require design review for compatibility. Recently revised Goal 5 rules provide that the only automatic protection for new historic districts is demolition review.
 - Historic districts comprise just 1% - 3% of residential zoning. They are not the problem and provide significant community benefits worthy of good stewardship.

There are positive aspects to HB 2007 such as streamlining review, establishing clear and objective standards for affordable housing design, and allowing religious institutions to create affordable housing on their property.

But to avoid doing more harm than good, we urge you to either **adopt our proposed amendments as a package, or VOTE NO on this bill.**

On a more personal note, I live in the Eliot neighborhood in Portland which is already going through it's own growing pains. What I've seen over and over again, single family AFFORDABLE homes are quickly bought by developers (in cash - so families don't even have a chance), and are demolished for a new larger single family house that is sold for 700k and up. The median home value (according to Zillow) for my zip code is now \$707,000! My husband and I are continuously grateful that we bought our house five years ago since we

wouldn't be able to afford where we live now (and we both have good jobs - we're not a low income household). So many people are less fortunate and HB 2007, as it is currently written, is basically handing full reign to greedy developers with no REAL SOLID guarantee of providing ANY affordable housing.

Thank you for giving this your full consideration,
Kelly Gillard
Portland, OR (District 22 and 43)