

Dear Representative Barreto:

I found on the OLIS site that you are referred to as a "regular sponsor" of this bill (SB 327A re: Wildlife Salvage Permits), I am unaware of what this means. Does it mean because you are on the committee you would be considered a "sponsor" if the bill were to proceed out of committee?

At any rate, I wanted to let you know my opinion of this bill. It seems like a waste of time. I don't know the purposes of it, because they are not stated. But by the time someone gets a salvage permit, the edible dead wildlife may well be unable to be salvaged for food purposes. As you know, government moves very slowly and they don't really need any more work, or functions, or authority.

Repeal of sections 2 and 3 in 2024 make me wonder why they are in this bill to start with. And what would be the purpose of someone turning in the Antlers to the state immediately anyway? Would they have to cut those off of the carcass before they loaded it onto the transportation source and hand them into a State Police Officer? This really all seems unnecessary. In Southern Oregon, when a deer is hit in rural Oregon, sometimes it's necessary to remove it from the windshield, sometimes it's legs are actually in the front section of the car. This is usually done by someone wanting to salvage the meat and they do it quickly and haul away the dead animal. Other times the deer is hit and lays by the edge of the road until someone picks it up.

This natural process has been going on for years (since vehicles and animals have been colliding) and no one needed a law or a permit to salvage meat, or turn in the rack to the state.

I oppose this bill and hope that you will as well. It is just MORE Government regulation, which is really what we need less of.

Thank you,

Vivian Kirkpatrick-Pilger
180 Smokey Lane
Grants Pass, OR 97527
Phone: 714 903-6072