

From: Kim Martin

Sent: Tuesday, May 16, 2017 1:38 PM

To: adam.crawford@state.or.us

Subject:

Given that HB 1057 now requires OMMP gardens to be tracked by the OLCC seed to sale tracking system and be subject to inspections, what is wrong with registering an address with a precise legal description of other identifier?

The policy articulated in this bulletin makes no sense. The remote rural parcels singled out for exclusion are exactly where marijuana gardens can be located without offending neighbors. Why adopt a policy that prohibits gardens on locations with few neighbors, when that will force gardens into more densely populated areas where some neighbors may be bothered. The recent testimony from Deschutes county residents concerned about neighboring cannabis farms should highlight this problem.

Many patients live in remote areas. Many are low income and strive for self reliance and will be unable to afford to purchase their medicine at dispensaries which are a considerable distance away. Depriving these patients of the ability to produce their own medicine by arbitrary rules is unjustified and cruel. Forcing more gardens into more densely populated areas will just create more problems. Please pass the 2198-20 amendments to fix this.