

From: Meagan (Davison) Reser [<mailto:meamea.2000@gmail.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, May 9, 2017 11:09 PM

To: SenatorLMA <Sen.LaurieMonnesAnderson@state.or.us>; Sen.ElizabethSteinerHayward@state.or.us; Sen.JeffKruse@state.or.us; Sen.LeeBeyer@state.or.us; Sen.TimKnopp@state.or.us

Cc: Droppers Oliver <Oliver.Droppers@oregonlegislature.gov>

Subject: HB 2644

Dear Senate Committee and Senators,

I see there is a work session for HB 2644 and would like to ask for you to PLEASE NOT pass this bill! There is no reason for the the current law to change and to remove medical freedom from the choice of parents. Not only that but if we really break down our options, oral Vitamin K is the better option but the bill doesn't make that stance. It is leaving it to the case of the doctors to choose and the cards are not in the vaccines favor for morals or efficacy.

The injected version of the vitamin K is synthetic and has aluminum in it (which is a known neurotoxin). The shot also has a *black box warning* which states a huge risk in possible fatality; not to mention the large connection it has to childhood cancers. The oral version of vitamin K, in my opinion, is still not necessary with proper nutrition from the mother during pregnancy and just a few weeks prior to birth. Bleeding out is extremely rare in the USA during birth. The oral vitamin K would be the best option, from the science shown in studies (Denmark study with 94% compliance with oral vitamin K: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12892158). However, if a parent deems one the right choice for their child, that in fact, should be their choice! We need to keep the verbiage how it is and let the parents decide how to administer the vitamin k to their newborn. THANK YOU!

Meagan Reser

Reser Mechanics LLC, Volunteer and Friend

PO Box 22
Hermiston, OR 97838

† "Try to be a rainbow in someone's cloud." -XOXO