

The term "extreme risk" can have such different meanings to different people (this includes judges). Risk tolerance for some people is laughable they cannot tolerate any anxiety while for others dangerous situations are sought after.

I think the current laws are adequate to protect us from people behaving in a threatening or aggressive manner.

The way this bill is set up it seems the accused is assumed to be guilty until they can prove themselves innocent. Backwards to the way our system is supposed to work.

This bill sets up a situation where people could lose their rights by another person accusing them of bad behavior. Most would probably be domestic disputes. The typical he/she said he/she said. This bill sets up that a court must resolve these. Our courts are already so choked with petty garbage cases that they are not able to deal with serious criminal cases and they take forever to resolve them. I know the majority of legislators are lawyers and thus wish to preserve this litigation mentality but wouldn't it be nice to make things work faster and more efficient.

My recommendation is that you totally reject this bill. It is a waste of your time even discussing it.

I just thought I would send you a link showing the better way to approach firearm safety that the state of Iowa is pursuing.

Link: https://bearingarms.com/jenn-j/2017/04/17/iowa-governor-signs-gun-bill-into-law/?utm_source=thdailypr&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=nl_pm&newsletterad=

I think Oregonian legislators should follow their lead in this regard.

Bill Carter
Lebanon, Oregon