

4-16-2017

Honorable Members of the Judiciary Committee

Subject : SB 764 vote NO

This terribly written bill is a definite NO vote.

Under the times we live in with violence increasing. Why are these types of laws coming forward ? To stop the law abiding people from protecting themselves.?

We do not need to be controlled by a body of people that are exempt for what we face.

Unless the idea is to not let the law abiding protect themselves and let only criminals to have rights.

Also in a sanctuary state or city the decision has already been made to violate federal law . Law abiding citizens are more at risk. So, a question would be: If a state or city or a city or state agency violates state and federal laws. Why should anyone follow the city or state laws?

The idea of requiring live fire is not bad . However this should also include using law enforcement ranges to allow practice and qualification in the area you live.

The legislative body should decide not a department head. On state Police rule.

On page 10 (f) (A) lines 23 , 24 . There is no reason given at the beginning as to the disqualification. This should be given at the time of disqualification.

On page 13 (c) (A) lines 15, 16 Again there is no reason given. This should be given at the time of disqualification.

Under illegal search and seizure there is no clear way to get property back.

A complete list of all seized property will be given and signed by agency and a confirmation signature, by the party seized from. All property shall be returned in the same condition at seized. Or full compensation to replace at full new market value to be paid by the seizing agency and the state. This includes all fees and time limits waived .