

I am opposed to SB 719.

Today the Senate Judiciary Committee heard three anti-gun bills and the House Judiciary Committee heard one.

The anti-gun groups packed the room largely with people they had shipped in from out of state.

As the result of a procedural error it appears that all the Senate anti-gun bills cannot move forward.

That's the good news. The bad news is there is *always* a way to move bad bills.

Tomorrow, the Senate Judiciary Committee will be looking at amendments to a bill that had nothing to do with firearms in an effort to include the gun confiscation language from SB 868.

The bill is [SB 719](#).

If passed, this bill will allow your guns to be confiscated based on accusations from family members that you are dangerous or suicidal. You do NOT have to be convicted of a crime. You do NOT have to actually be dangerous.

This is very, very dangerous legislation and of course it solves nothing. If a person is really dangerous or suicidal there is not one word in this legislation that addresses that .

A person who cannot be trusted with a gun cannot be trusted without a chaperone.

If someone is that dangerous, do you really want to live with them after you have had the cops come and take their possessions and their rights?

I fear this incredibly ill conceived legislation is going to get people killed.

Wayne S. De Vore  
Oregon City