

Testimony for the record:

Truly dangerous people need interventions. People who are not dangerous should not lose their rights because of the unproven accusations of a vindictive family member. Citizens should not lose their rights to due process.

If these amendments are added, they will be used as a tool of spite/malice with no consequences for improper use. If guns are taken away from an individual who is not a danger to themselves or anyone, the very act of taking them away may escalate the situation to a level that will manufacture the very result this legislation intendeds to prevent. What is next? Are we going to give citizens the right to impound others cars if we think they are bad drivers?

No one would suggest that ones right to unreasonable search and siezure (4th amendment) or freedom of speech (1st amendment) should be denied before due process. Why is the 2nd amendment treated in a different manner? They are all amendments and they all require due process.

I urge you remove these amendments and not introduce them in the future.

Robert Pitts, MBA
Oregon City, OR