

From: David Sawyer
To: [SENR Exhibits](#)
Cc: [Richards Mary](#)
Subject: FW: TIME SENSITIVE: SB 865-3 Request from Rep Hack
Date: Thursday, April 13, 2017 10:57:58 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Dear Senator,

The following attached are very quick concerns about SB 685.

For Turner, the bill requires that a City provide notice when we are potentially impacting an irrigation district facility....but the bill also states the district does not have to provide information about their facilities until 2019. Seems like the information should come first...which would then support giving proper notice.

Thank you.

David Sawyer, City Administrator
City of Turner
POBox 456
Turner, OR 97392
503-743-2155
503-743-4010(f)
manager@cityofturner.org

From: Damian Syrnyk [mailto:dsyrnyk@bendoregon.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 13, 2017 10:47 AM
To: Dan Fleishman <DFleishman@ci.stayton.or.us>; 'Erin Doyle (edoyle@orcities.org)' <edoyle@orcities.org>
Cc: 'David Sawyer' <manager@cityofturner.org>; Aaron Cubic (acubic@grantspassoregon.gov) <acubic@grantspassoregon.gov>; Doug Riggs (doug@ngrc.com) <doug@ngrc.com>; Tracy Rutten (trutten@orcities.org) <trutten@orcities.org>
Subject: RE: TIME SENSITIVE: SB 865-3 Request from Rep Hack

Thanks Dan,

Everyone, I'm including some comments from Colin Stephens, Current Planning Manager for the City of Bend below:

The 15-day period in which the district needs to respond should be changed to the 14-day period reflected in ORS 197.195(3)(A). Since subdivisions are limited land use decisions, jurisdictions are set up with this deadline. It is odd to have another deadline, especially

one that starts when a notice is “received.” Short of changing processes to send the district notice via certified mail, the jurisdiction has no idea when the notice is received (electronic notice would do this though). To be consistent with other relevant statutes, the start of the period should be 14 days from when the notice is sent.

Under Section 2 subsection 4 there should be language that says any condition imposed by the city needs to be consistent with the city’s acknowledged land use regulations.

I like Section 4. The districts here have been reluctant to give us this information.



Damian Syrnyk, AICP | Senior Planner

O: 541-312-4919 |



From: Dan Fleishman [<mailto:DFleishman@ci.stayton.or.us>]

Sent: Thursday, April 13, 2017 10:40 AM

To: 'Erin Doyle (edoyle@orcities.org)' <edoyle@orcities.org>

Cc: 'David Sawyer' <manager@cityofturner.org>; Damian Syrnyk <dsyrnyk@bendoregon.gov>; Aaron Cubic (acubic@grantspassoregon.gov) <acubic@grantspassoregon.gov>; Doug Riggs (doug@ngrc.com) <doug@ngrc.com>; Tracy Rutten (trutten@orcities.org) <trutten@orcities.org>

Subject: FW: TIME SENSITIVE: SB 865-3 Request from Rep Hack

Looks like SB 865 is still alive...

I don't have great concerns over the -3 amendments as it merely requires us to notify the District of a pending application, which we already do. I like that the -3 Amendments places some burden on the district(s) to notify us of their boundaries and facilities.

Dan Fleishman
Planning and Development Director
City of Stayton
362 N Third Avenue
Stayton, OR 97383

Ph 503-769-2998

www.staytonoregon.gov

From: David Sawyer [<mailto:manager@cityofturner.org>]
Sent: Thursday, April 13, 2017 10:16 AM
To: Dan Fleishman
Subject: FW: TIME SENSITIVE: SB 865-3 Request from Rep Hack

Got this from my Rep. 2 minutes ago.

They say the -3 amendments are still hopeless.

Take a look.

David Sawyer, City Administrator
City of Turner
POBox 456
Turner, OR 97392
503-743-2155
503-743-4010(f)
manager@cityofturner.org

From: Richards Mary [<mailto:Mary.Richards@oregonlegislature.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, April 13, 2017 10:19 AM
To: manager@cityofturner.org
Subject: TIME SENSITIVE: SB 865-3 Request from Rep Hack

David,
Rep Hack asked me to contact you regarding a hearing being held today on Senate Bill 865-3 at 1:00 pm in Hearing Room C. She would like you to review the bill and send in comments to the Committee Administrator at senr.exhibits@oregonlegislature.gov. Rep Hack is concerned about the added burden of bureaucratic requirements, even with the amendment of -3. Attached is a copy of the bill and the amendment.
Thank you!

Mary Richards
Assistant to Rep Hack
House District 19/Room H-385
503-986-1419

PUBLIC RECORDS LAW DISCLOSURE: Emails are generally public records and therefore subject to public disclosure unless exempt from disclosure under Oregon Public Records Law. Emails can be sent inadvertently to unintended recipients and contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient (or authorized to receive for the recipient), please advise by return email and delete immediately without reading or forwarding to others. Thank you.