

Department of Human Services

Office of the Chief Financial Officer 500 Summer St. NE, E-15 Salem, OR 97301 Voice: 503-945-5600 Fax: 503-581-6198

April 4, 2016

- TO: Co-Chair Senator Steiner Hayward Co-Chair Representative Rayfield Human Services Subcommittee members
- FR: Department of Human Services
- RE: DHS APD Presentation Follow-Up Questions.

Good day, here are the answers to the remaining questions as follow-up from Ways and Means:

1) Below is a chart showing total number of allegations in facilities and the numbers of substantiated allegations. The substantiations include abuse and non-abuse violations.

Facility Type	2014		2015		2016	
	Total		Total		Total	
	Allegations	Sub	Allegations	Sub	Allegations	Sub
Adult Foster Home	765	274	719	263	693	194
Assisted Living Facility	966	463	943	399	1257	419
Residential Care	1446	537	1686	708	2219	750
Nursing Facility	1361	443	1424	537	1653	633

- 2) The most recent data with regard to our survey intervals is as follows:
 - a. NF survey interval as of today is 13.42 months with 9 facilities over 12.9 months.
 - b. Residential Care Facilities/Assisted Living survey interval as of today is 27.93 months with 72 facilities over 24 months.
- 3) Below is a summary of the work that was done by the Centralized Abuse Management team and OAAPI staff around what various State abuse reporting systems include:

The team talked with about 20 states – at the time we did the outreach, some were at a similar point in the process as we were – trying to gather comparison data between states so they could make decisions. Two states were in the RFP process and declined to discuss. We focused on states that were using a SACWIS system (stands for State Automated Child Welfare System and it's a federally approved case management system "Assisting People to Become Independent, Healthy and Safe"



like OR-Kids) to see if any were viable for our needs. Most of those are huge monolithic systems and they found adult protective services generally took a backseat to the needs of child welfare when they needed changes or updates to their system.

We realized after speaking with several states that there is a new trend in looking at vulnerable people as a population as opposed to children/DD/APS.

We ended up doing a comparison between several states that are using a HARMONY product and several who were using something else.

For the HARMONY states here are our results regarding whether or not they are using the system for child abuse and adult abuse.

STATE	FOR BOTH
North Dakota	N – just adults
Kansas	N – just adults
Vermont	N – just adults
Mississippi	Y – but are moving away from it to separate
	out the adult abuse portion
Massachusetts	N – just adults
Alaska	N – just adults
Georgia	N – just adults
New Mexico	N – just adults
NON HARMONY STATES	
<u>NON HARMONY STATES</u> STATE	FOR BOTH
	FOR BOTH N – just adults
STATE	
STATE Colorado	N – just adults
STATE Colorado Florida	N – just adults Y – but don't recommend doing this
STATE Colorado Florida Illinois	N – just adults Y – but don't recommend doing this Y
STATE Colorado Florida Illinois Minnesota	N – just adults Y – but don't recommend doing this Y N – just adults
STATE Colorado Florida Illinois Minnesota	N – just adults Y – but don't recommend doing this Y N – just adults Y – moving away from it – data gets
STATE Colorado Florida Illinois Minnesota Texas	N – just adults Y – but don't recommend doing this Y N – just adults Y – moving away from it – data gets compromised

Please let me know if you have questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Eric Luther Moore DHS, CFO 503-884-4701