

I am Carl R Larson, 6820 SE Cesar E Chavez Blvd., Portland, OR 97202. We have a 140 acres of mostly Douglas Fir forest in Washington County. I oppose HB 3226 because of the burden it puts on small forest owners.

The change from (giving notice to harvest) to (getting permission) gives easily abused authority to the DOF and to the DEQ.

Planning time required for a harvest may not match the market window of suitable price for the logs, or available loggers, or the weather.

The buffer distance away from fish bearing streams, and partial year streams was set by the DOF under DEQ pressure just last year.
Those measures should be given a chance to work.

Buffers set state wide apply to wet and dry forests, and north and south aspects, and wiith greater length give more error at the expense of the land owner.

Demanding 50 trees be left per acre on landslide prone land that would be cable harvested raises the expense past harvesting,
Slides often occur when water -laden land is very heavy as well as slippery.

This bill looks a lot like praise for Calidifornia