

# **CLEAN DIESEL ENGINES**

#### KC Klosterman, Oldcastle Materials Group

#### March 8, 2017





# Oldcastle Materials Group

- 1. Major building materials supplier with numerous operations in Oregon.
- 2. Involved in construction, construction materials (aggregates, concrete, asphalt) and other building materials (glass, bagged products, pre-cast concrete & general building materials supply) including distribution of the above.
- 3. Has significant On Road and Off Road diesel engines used in a variety of applications.





## Mining and Mining Equipment







#### **Ready Mix Trucks**







#### Loaders







#### **Excavators**







## **Heavy Haul Mine Trucks**







#### **Retrofit is not a Viable Option**







#### Impacts

- Oldcastle Materials has more than 300 pieces of equipment in Oregon that would be impacted by this bill.
- Many pieces cannot be retrofitted (especially off road equipment).
- Estimated compliance exceeds \$20 million.
- Economic development and highway construction would bear the brunt of this.
  - Less road repairs
  - Less economic development
  - = LESS JOBS





# Key Problems with SB 1008

- 1. Funding the retrofits and replacements by burdening highway contract work with a fee would reduce funds available for public projects at a time that we cannot even find the bare minimum funding for highway and bridge maintenance while failing to address needed capacity improvements.
- 2. The data is still weak on the emissions modeling.
  - Fuel type usage is not well known.
    - EXAMPLE:
      - Oldcastle only uses low sulfur fuel in all operations, on & off road. Modeling assumes off road is all high sulfur therefore we question the accuracy of the forecasted emissions data.
      - This data needs to be gathered
    - Age of all fleets in modeling is not well known for off road equipment.
      - This data needs to be gathered.
  - DEQ is not current on all of the new equipment already in place
- 3. Developing an elaborate registration system will create an unnecessary cost burdensome program that has no validation in improving air quality and perpetuates mistrust of private companies in self reporting.





# Impact to Highway Funding

- Oldcastle Impact ~ \$20 Million
- Projected "conservative" impact to all public project contractors ~\$300M\*
  - Translates to ~ \$180M cost related to public works (assumes 60% of all projects are public projects)
    - @ 7 year depreciation life > \$25M per year in less highway funding available without assistance from the State
- There will be fewer roads improved as a result of acceleration of equipment replacement.

\* – Assumes that a large % of older equipment could be retrofitted (unknown at this time), actual cost could be significantly higher.





# Industry is coming into compliance without legislation.

- Natural replacement will complete these upgrades over the next 5-7 years
- Complete the inventory and other "assumed" variables with accurate data before legislating mass change, which may not have the benefit currently modeled.





#### Conclusion

- The Industry appreciates the committee taking the time to discuss this bill.
- There are certain environmental benefits for the program, though the cost-benefit analysis using well vetted science and economics appears to be severely lacking.
- The current proposed transition cycle would have a material impact on economic development, road maintenance, highway/bridge construction and overall transportation business in Oregon.
- We would like to continue to be partners with the legislature in discussing this issue.

