

My husband and I own a home that we kept when we had to move due to job requirements. Our intention has been to return to this home when he retires, which will be in the next year. We are currently renting it out until we move back because we cannot afford to own 2 homes.

This bill is downright scary! It almost makes it appear that we have no right to move back to this house. There is no way we can afford to pay a tenant's moving expenses when we will have expenses of our own. And, we are required to offer it to them to re-rent when their rental period expires??

The tenant that is in there has more rights than the owner!!!

Maybe they would like to pay the taxes, insurance and do all the repairs, too?

I understand the need for renters to be protected, and I feel an adequate period of notifying them that they will have to move (90 days is OK), does provide them with protection. One of the fundamentals of being a renter is knowing that you do not own the property, and things can change. **But, renters also have the huge advantage of taking none of the risks associated with ownership. Owners do need some form of protection for being willing to take those risks** (including putting up with bad tenants.)

This law simply goes too far in eliminating an owner's rights.

Nancy Green

64727 Hunnell Rd.

Bend, Oregon 97703