

Hi,

Rather than allowing the OSP to take an indefinite length of time to respond to a request for a background check, wouldn't the better approach be 1) to fund the OSP at such a level that they could respond in a timely manner and 2) to have a better procedure in place to deal with the difficult cases? Why not allow persons with CHLs to bypass the background check? (As I understand it, any conviction, etc. that would disqualify someone from buying a gun is also grounds for losing one's CHL.)

Three days may in fact be too short a time if jurisdictions outside of Oregon are involved. How long would be long enough? I have no idea, but I would think, from the outside, that a month or two would be in order.

However, wouldn't it be better in such cases to "open" a case file and work through the process in an orderly and timely manner?

Certainly, no one wants criminals and the insane to be able to buy firearms. However, establishing a system of open-ended deadlines is asking for a system that provided nothing but open-ended delays.

Best of luck with the session.

All the best,

Jim Wilson
120 SE Mast Ave.
#16
Lincoln City, OR 97367