SENATE AMENDMENTS TO SENATE BILL 270

By COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS AND TRANSPORTATION

February 26

On page 1 of the printed bill, delete lines 5 through 30 and delete pages 2 through 4 and insert: "SECTION 1. Section 64, chapter 865, Oregon Laws 2009, as amended by section 3, chapter 55, Oregon Laws 2012, and section 1, chapter 11, Oregon Laws 2013, is amended to read:

"Sec. 64. (1) Proceeds of the bonds, as defined in ORS 367.010, authorized under ORS 367.620 (3)(c) may be used to finance all or any portion of the projects as listed in subsection (2) of this section. The Oregon Transportation Commission shall determine the order of completion for the projects listed in subsection (2) of this section.

"(2) The following amounts are allocated for the projects listed below:

11	(a)	U.S. Highway 26 at the	
12		[Glencoe Road Interchange \$ 32	million]
13		Glencoe Road Interchange \$ 21	million
14	(b)	Interstate 84 at the	
15		[257th Avenue Interchange \$ 24	million]
16		257th Avenue Interchange \$ 27	,669,288
17	(c)	State Highway 212: Sunrise	
18		Corridor, Phase I, Units 1,	
19		2 and 3\$ 100	million
20	(d)	U.S. Highway 26 at the Shute	
21		[Road Interchange, Phase I \$ 45	million]
22		Road Interchange, Phase I \$ 53	,947,687
23	(e)	Interstate 5 at the Interstate	
24		[205 Interchange \$ 11	million]
25		205 Interchange \$ 7	,330,712
26	[<i>(f)</i>	U.S. Highway 26: 185th Avenue]	
27		[to Cornell Road \$ 20	million]
28	(f)	U.S. Highway 26: Cornelius Pass	
29		Road to Cornell Road \$ 22	,052,313
30	(g)	Interstate 205 and State	
31		Highway 213 at the Washington	
32		Street Interchange \$ 22	million
33	(h)	Interstate 84 at the Hood	
34		River Interchange\$ 10	million
35	(i)	State Highway 43 at the	

1

3

4 5

7

8

1		Sellwood Bridge Interchange	\$	30 million
2	(j)	State Highway 6 at U.S.		
3	4.	Highway 101	\$	27 million
4	(k)	State Highway 99W: Newberg	_	
5	 \	and Dundee Bypass, Phase I		192 million
6	(L)	Interstate 5 at the State Highwa	-	F0 :11:
7	()	214 Interchange	\$	53 million
8	(m)	Interstate 5 at Beltline		
9		Highway, Units 3, 4, 5,	φ	70
10		[6 and 7		70 million]
11		6 and 7	\$	64 million
12	(n)	Beltline Highway at	4	2 1
13		[Delta Highway		2 million]
14		Delta Highway	\$	8 million
15	(0)	Interstate 5 at Kuebler	4	
16		Road, Phase I	\$	15 million
17	(p)	Interstate 5 at Kuebler	_	
18		Road, Phase II (Mill Creek)	\$	4 million
19	(q)	State Highway 42, county		
20		line curves	\$	10 million
21	(r)	State Highway 62: Corridor		
22		Solution, Phase II	\$	100 million
23	(s)	Interstate 5 at the Fern Valley		
24		Road Interchange	\$	25 million
25	(t)	Interstate 5 Sutherlin		
26		truck climbing lanes	\$	4.1 million
27	(u)	Interstate 5 Sexton truck		
28		climbing lanes	\$	10 million
29	(v)	Interstate 84 at the U.S.		
30		Highway 97 Interchange	\$	19 million
31	(w)	U.S. Highway 97: Crooked		
32		River Bridge to Redmond	\$	2 million
33	(x)	State Highway 140:		
34		Klamath Falls to the Nevada		
35		state line	\$	23 million
36	(y)	Murphy Road at the U.S.		
37		Highway 97 Interchange	\$	25 million
38	(z)	U.S. Highway 97: Redmond		
39		reroute, Phase II	\$	5 million
40	(aa)	Chico Road reconstruction		
41		in Baker County	\$	621,083
42	(bb)	Chandler Lane reconstruction		
43		in Baker County	\$	2,427,758
44	(cc)	Interstate 84 Spring Creek		
45		climbing lane in		

SA to SB 270 Page 2

1			Union County\$	5.7 million
2		(dd)	Northwest Washington Avenue	
3			in Malheur County\$	4.5 million
4		(ee)	Pierce Road improvements	
5			in Union County\$	5 million
6		(ff)	State Highway 82 alternate route	
7			in Wallowa County\$	5 million
8		(gg)	Westland Road in Umatilla	
9			County \$	1.1 million
10		(hh)	State Highway 207 and State	
11			$Highway\ 206\ intersections\ \$$	0.5 million
12		(ii)	Vehicle chain-up areas east	
13			of Pendleton on Interstate	
14			84\$	4.7 million
15		(jj)	Izee-Paulina Highway in	
16			Grant County\$	4.5 million
17		(kk)	Monroe Street and U.S.	
18			Highway 20 Intersection	
19			in Harney County\$	0.9 million
20	"			

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

"[(3)(a) When the commission determines that the project described in subsection (2)(e) of this section is completed, the commission shall reallocate any amount remaining from the allocation made under subsection (2)(e) of this section to the project described in subsection (2)(b) of this section.]

- "[(b) When the commission determines that the project described in subsection (2)(f) of this section is completed, the commission shall reallocate any amount remaining from the allocation made under subsection (2)(f) of this section to the project described in subsection (2)(d) of this section.]
- "[(c)] (3)(a) When the commission determines that the project described in subsection (2)(a) of this section is completed, the commission shall reallocate any amount remaining from the allocation made under subsection (2)(a) of this section to the project described in subsection (2)(d) of this section.
- "(b) When the commission determines that the project described in subsection (2)(d) of this section is completed, the commission shall reallocate any amount remaining from the allocation made under subsection (2)(d) of this section to the project described in subsection (2)(f) of this section.
- "(c) When the commission determines that the project described in subsection (2)(m) of this section is completed, the commission shall reallocate any amount remaining from the allocation made under subsection (2)(m) of this section to the project described in subsection (2)(n) of this section.
- "(4) Prior to June 1, 2010, in addition to the projects listed in subsection (2) of this section, if projects are recommended to the Oregon Transportation Commission by the applicable local area commission on transportation after consultation with the local governments listed in this subsection, the Oregon Transportation Commission may also approve and allocate funds to the following local governments for projects approved by the commission in the following amounts:

45

SA to SB 270 Page 3

1					
2		(a)	Baker County\$	7	,051,159
3		(b)	Grant County\$	1.1	million
4		(c)	Harney County\$	4.1	million
5		(d)	Malheur County\$	5.8	million
6		(e)	Union County\$	1.3	million
7		(f)	Umatilla County\$	2.5	million
8		(g)	City of Nyssa\$	1	million
9		(h)	City of Heppner\$	3	million
10		(i)	City of Milton-Freewater\$	3	million
11		(j)	City of Ontario\$	1.2	million
12		(k)	Port of Umatilla\$	4.5	million
13		(L)	Port of Morrow\$	10.7	million
14	"				
15					
10		" CI	ECHION O MI COSE A 4 1	•	

"SECTION 2. This 2015 Act being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, an emergency is declared to exist, and this 2015 Act takes effect

18 **on its passage.**".

SA to SB 270 Page 4