

ATTORNEY LICENSING IS A FRAUD!

There is no such thing as an Attorney License to practice law. The UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT held a long time ago that The practice of Law CANNOT be licensed by any state/State. This was so stated in a case named *Schwartz v. Board of Examiners*, [353 U.S. 232](#) (1957) and is located for all to read at the following pages in volume 353 U.S. pgs.238, 239 of the United States Reports. Here is a quote from that case:

A State cannot exclude a person from the practice of law or from any other occupation in a manner or for reasons that contravene the Due Process or Equal Protection (353 U.S. 232, 239) Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. 5 Dent v. West Virginia, 129 U.S. 114. Cf. Slocum v. Board of Education, 350 U.S. 551; Wieman v. Updegraff, 344 U.S. 183. And see Ex parte Secombe, 19 How. 9, 13. A State can require high standards of qualification, such as good moral character or proficiency in its law, before it admits an applicant to the bar, but any qualification must have a rational connection with the applicant's fitness or capacity to practice law. Douglas v. Noble, 261 U.S. 165; Cummins v. Missouri, 4 Wall. 277, 319-320. Cf. Nebbia v. New York, 291 U.S. 502. Obviously an applicant could not be excluded merely because he was a Republican or a Negro or a member of a particular church. Even in applying permissible standards, officers of a State cannot exclude an applicant when there is no basis for their finding that he fails to meet these standards, or when their action is invidiously discriminatory. Cf. Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356.
[Schwartz v. Board of Examiners, 353 U.S. 232 (1957), emphasis added!]

Another case which bore this out was [Sims v. Ahrens, 271 S.W. 720 \(1925\)](#). In this case the opinion of the court was that

"The practice of Law is an occupation of common right."

where some confusion may start is when one doesn't understand that a state supreme court only issues a CERTIFICATE, and that is not a license. All a certificate does is authorize one of those dirt-bags to practice Law "IN COURTS" as a member of the state judicial branch of government. [Please see NOTE 1 below to see that there is no judicial branch or government as we have been led to believe all our lives] A plain truth of fact is that Attorneys are 'foreign agents', the same as Federal Agents from the powers or well known as WASHINGTON, DC, and can only represent wards of the court; infants and persons of unsound mind. [The reader would be surprised to find out that according to them, we're all of unsound mind that is; we're considered incompetent to handle our own affairs.] [Please see NOTE 2 below for a reference in a law dictionary which explains this concept]

Further, as a CERTIFICATE IS NOT A LICENSE then it also gives no power to anyone to practice Law AS AN OCCUPATION, nor to DO BUSINESS AS A LAW FIRM.

The state bar association is not a government entity. The state bar ass...is "PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION" and their "STATE BAR" CARD IS NOT A LICENSE either. All that card is – is a "UNION DUES CARD" like the Actors Union, Painters Union, Electricians union etc. Did the reader know that there is no other association, not even DOCTORS, who issue their own license. All other licenses are issued by the state or local municipal corporations. Any one can ask their state Attorney General if the members of the BAR are licensed by the state or any other governmental agency. The reader will find out in short order that the state doesn't issue licenses for Attorney's and that said attorneys are NON GOVERNMENTAL PRIVATE ASSOCIATION.

I heretore by reason iodic and common sense we can arrive a the determination that the "ass... is: an unconstitutional monopoly, and thus an ILLEGAL & CRIMINAL ENTERPRSE the majority, if not all of government offices are filled with Attorneys there is a definite violation of the separation of powers clause of a constitutional government.

Attorneys are nothing less than 'foreign agents' on our land as they are foreign to a constitutional government. They have NO POWER OR AUTHORITY for joining or Legislative, Judicial, or Executive branches of a constitutional government, no matter if the so called people vote for them is against a Republican form of constitutional government law for them to even attempt to 'run for office' (sic). Of course this would include all iudges as well as members of the other branches of a constitutional government

NOTE 1: In a Municipal Corporation, which is what all states (once called union States) are today, a Municipal Corporation Law there is no iudicial branch. The iudges, which we see today and, which we have seen all our lives, are administrative law iudges appointed by the CEO of the Executive branch. I. Some other time be an offer mv conclusions, including the references which I used to make said conclusion. A little hint: it one could iust understand how a vv-4, which is of no lawful effect after 1 year, has its continued effect on someone who does not disclaim it's effect but instead allows the effect to continue for as long as that person is employed with the Federal employer he tied it with. I he relate that same effect to the effect that the Birth Certificate has to one's continued effect of being incompetent to handle your own affairs even if you're 57 years old like this old goat!

NOTE 2: SEE CORPUS JURIS SECUNDUM, VOLUME 7, SECTION 4

Writers note: we are all a product of our past experiences and teachings and what those experiences and teachings, whether written or spoken word, have led us to be. With that said much of what I read and write today is a product of some very influential people who have been in my life in recent months and years. Jack Yost, Ken Evans, Rice McLeod, Harmon L. Taylor, Bill Janos, Big Al and Pat Lynch are just a few and are not necessarily in written in order of importance. The article which I have added to above is the product of many another's mind and research. By way of the many influences mentioned herein and many more, that which I have done above might be called plagiarized, if so than all writers are plagiarizers, for they all use someone else's thoughts, teachings, and writings at sometime or another. I humbly admit that without input from those mentioned above I could not have written what I have and firmly believe that those friends were instruments sent to me by my Holy Father, The Ever Living God. Thank you and Godspeed

Copyright Family Guardian Fellowship	Last revision: 8/16/09
	This private system is NOT subject to monitoring