78th OREGON LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY – 2015 Regular Session

## **PRELIMINARY** STAFF MEASURE SUMMARY

Senate Committee on Judiciary

MEASURE: SB 641 CARRIER:

**REVENUE:** May have revenue impact, statement not yet issued FISCAL: May have fiscal impact, statement not yet issued SUBSEQUENT REFERRAL TO:

Action:	
Vote:	
Yeas:	
Nays:	
Exc.:	
Prepared By:	Eric Deitrick, Counsel
Meeting Dates:	3/17, 4/14

**WHAT THE MEASURE DOES:** Prohibits public body from searching portable electronic devices unless there is a warrant or imminent threat to public safety. Requires public body to return device to owner as soon as practicable.

## **ISSUES DISCUSSED:**

- Recent United States Supreme Court decision in Riley v. California
- Amount and type of data on a typical cell phone
- Surveillance tools of the government

**EFFECT OF COMMITTEE AMENDMENT:** Proposed (-4) amendment eliminates prohibition on cell phone searches and focuses prohibition on duplication of cell phone data without a warrant or consent. The amendment limits the scope of the prohibition to law enforcement rather than all public bodies. It clarifies who has standing to challenge evidence obtained in violation of the statute. It requires law enforcement to purge data obtained outside the scope of the search warrant.

**BACKGROUND:** The United States and Oregon Constitutions prohibit warrantless searches, unless certain wellfounded exceptions to the warrant requirement exist. These exceptions include consent, search incident to arrest, and exigent circumstances. For years, courts have wrestled with the constitutional analysis of when and how these portable electronic devices can be searched by police. In <u>State v. Nix</u>, 236 Or App 32 (2010), the Oregon Court of Appeals held that searching a person's cell phone incident to arrest was permissible under Article I, section 9 of the Oregon Constitution. Four years later, in <u>Riley v. California</u>, 134 S.Ct. 2473 (2014), the United States Supreme Court held that searching a person's cell phone incident to arrest violated the United States Constitution, unless the search was authorized by warrant or certain exigent circumstances.

Senate Bill 641 prohibits public bodies from searching portable electronic devices unless there is a warrant or imminent threat to public safety.