

Subject: I oppose SB 895-3

Dear Senators,

I am writing to voice my concerns to SB 895-3. I sincerely hope you will read my letter and I would really appreciate a reply back, at least an acknowledgement that you received this.

My first problem with SB 895-3 is that the term "immunization" is used incorrectly in the language of the bill. I think "vaccination" is the word that should be used. The two are not synonymous.

Second, a Google search of 'Oregon vaccination rates in schools' will show parents who care what the vaccination rates are. They can easily do this themselves without the passage of extra laws.

Third, publishing student vaccination rates is not an accurate picture of potential disease exposure in schools. Staff, teachers, parents, volunteers and visitors all enter schools and interact with students on a daily basis. What are their vaccination rates?

Fourth, it is a fact that recently vaccinated people can carry and spread viruses from the vaccines themselves. If the concern here is honest information about the risk of spreading disease at school, why is this information not being addressed shared as well?

When I was in school I had about 10 shots. I was not considered a threat to public health. Now, if a child hasn't had 36 by kindergarten and 69 by the time they are a senior then they are?

Other laws are not being created to prevent unvaccinated people from entering other public institutions such as hospitals & libraries. This would be overreaching, unnecessary regulation. Why are schools any different?

I am an educated woman and a caring parent and community member. I have serious concerns with today's vaccination schedule. I have valid questions about the safety and efficacy of vaccines. I urge you to oppose SB 895-3.

Thank you for your time,

Stacey Black and family

574 Horn Ln.

Eugene, Or

97404

541-913-9424