

**From:** carlda <[carlda@centurylink.net](mailto:carlda@centurylink.net)>

**Date:** April 12, 2015 at 10:11:02 AM PDT

**To:** Beth Reiley <[beth.reiley@state.or.us](mailto:beth.reiley@state.or.us)>

**Subject:** HB 748 - questions regarding the soundness of that bill

To Whom this concerns:

It was brought to our attention HB748 was coming up for a vote. I could not understand from the message what it was all about. So I went out to the State website and called it up to read. Having read the bill, I cannot say with any degree of certainty that I better understand it's implications.

Near as I see, any land use restrictions can be negated if that business or corporation creates at least 10 paying jobs ( for some period of time ). It appears that business can do whatever they want, for as long as they want, that decision cannot be overturned, as long as 10 jobs were created for "some period of time" - unspecified.

I don't know who wrote this bill, but it is obvious they don't care about the larger community ( other than "10 jobs" - for the moment ). It sounds to me that some company could come in, open up a strip mine, clear cut log a whole forest, do whatever they want, for as long as they want, as long as there were 10 paying jobs created for "some period of time". The concerns of adjacent land owners, adjacent communities, are ( by default) also "irrevocably committed" to this situation as well.

SB748 isn't in the public interest. It is more intended to facilitate business or corporate "better interests". It needs to be re-written with some common sense safe guards placed in it - before being voted on.

Respectfully,

Carl Aplin

(Sheridan Oregon 97378 )