

Regarding house bill HB3193, I believe that the FAA is already working to address the issue of leaded aviation fuel. It is my understanding that unleaded aviation fuel replacements are in testing right now for release in 2018. General aviation aircraft are required by FAA regulations to use leaded aviation fuel (LL100) in certified aircraft, until a replacement is found and approved by the FAA. This bill will only serve to punish those in GA that have no other fuel alternative. I suspect that the amount of lead added to the environment by general aviation is very minute and poses little safety and health risk to the general public and environment.

Since the FAA has fuel replacements in testing right now for release in 2018, shouldn't any measures that pose a hardship or penalty to general aviation wait until they have an alternative available to them? That seems like a logical and fair concept since the targeted population has no alternative fuel source due to FAA regulation and will incur a financial burden because of this bill.

This bill should wait until 2018 when general aviation will have an FAA approved unleaded fuel replacement available, then pose a tax on leaded fuel if needed. At least waiting until 2018 when the FAA has approved an unleaded fuel alternative, the GA community will have an alternative available to paying an added tax which at this time they do not.

Respectively,

Daniel C. Forney
Private Pilot