

I find it somewhat offensive that such an important bill impacting our Second Amendment rights is not given adequate time for public comment. How is it that a law that impacts the rights of law abiding citizens yet fails to stop criminals could possibly be considered. Criminals by definition don't follow the law. The layers of bureaucracy as a result of this bill would certainly create the potential for law-abiding citizens to be in violation of the law while criminals would simply continue to violate the laws anyway. Why can't the legislature honestly address just criminals. Why do Laura biting Simpson have to be lumped in with the criminals. If you made a law that in essence was a violation of the second amendment that banned all guns in the state, law-abiding citizens would abide by the law. However, criminals would still have guns because by definition they don't follow the law and would keep their guns. criminals are criminals, so why don't you just deal with them? The point is criminals violate the laws while infringing upon the rights of the law abiding citizens. this bill does exactly that. Abiding citizens of the rights taken away while criminals will continue to violate the laws that you write. And I think you should write a law that stops just to criminals. Why don't you make the punishment for the existing laws something like life imprisonment and then enforce those laws.

Paul Stecher  
PSA Appraisal, LLC  
503-970-9772  
[p.stecher@comcast.net](mailto:p.stecher@comcast.net)