

Concerning bill 941,

I am against my state government passing bill 941.

The passing of this bill will in no way inhibit criminal activities incorporating the use of firearms. History has shown that persons of malicious intent will come to possess a firearm either legally or illegally.

This law will mostly affect law abiding citizens, not those determined to do wrong. By adding more complicated steps to firearm ownership the government will be keeping said firearms from good people while negligibly affecting the flow of weapons to criminals. What is the outcome? Higher crime rates, fewer families/citizens able to defend themselves, criminals feeling more comfortable committing crimes as there will be less people willing to jump through state and federal hoops to possess a firearm.

Utah and Oklahoma have passed legislation that no laws shall be passed restricting the rights to keep and bear arms. These two states have had REDUCTIONS in crime. I want Oregon to experience a drop in crime rates as well.

Again, this bill will see the drop in responsible, educated gun ownership, not a drop in crime. I will not support anyone in a political position who is for limiting firearm ownership. There is a reason why the right to bear arms is in our country's constitution- our fathers KNEW that citizens and their rights can be trampled by government when they are found unarmed and impotent.

I am against my state government passing bill 941.

Regards,

Benjamin Dery