

REVENUE: No revenue impact

FISCAL: Minimal fiscal impact, no statement issued

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Action: | Do Pass and Be Placed on the Consent Calendar |
| Vote: | 9 - 0 - 0 |
| Yeas: | Barton, Cameron, Garrett, Hicks, Krieger, Olson, Tomei, Williamson, Barker |
| Nays: | 0 |
| Exc.: | 0 |
| Prepared By: | Mike Schmidt, Counsel |
| Meeting Dates: | 2/14 |

WHAT THE MEASURE DOES: Clarifies that “five days” means five “judicial” days for computing when a custody defendant must be arraigned.

ISSUES DISCUSSED:

- Fix from unintended side effect of earlier law, which made this statute mean five calendar days instead of judicial days

EFFECT OF COMMITTEE AMENDMENT: No amendment.

BACKGROUND: Without the modifier “judicial” in this statute, it was unclear to courts whether weekends and holidays should be taken into account for purposes of scheduling arraignments for defendants in custody. When weekends and holidays are part of the computation, it can cause backlog and very large dockets, especially on the first judicial day following a holiday weekend.