

January 2012, a proposed class action was commenced against Sino-Forest and other defendants in the Southern District of New York (the "US Action"). The actions alleged that the public filings of Sino-Forest contained false and misleading statements about Sino-Forest's assets, business, and transactions.

Since that time, the litigation has been vigorously contested. On March 30, 2012, Sino-Forest obtained creditor protection under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act (the "CCAA"), which proceeding the Ontario Superior Court ordered a stay of proceedings against the company and other parties, including Ernst & Young (the "CCAA Proceeding"). Orders and other materials relevant to the CCAA Proceeding can be found at the CCAA Monitor's website at <http://www.ccaamonitor.com/info/> (the "Monitor's Website").

On December 10, 2012, a Plan of Arrangement was approved by the court in the CCAA Proceeding. As part of this Plan of Arrangement, the court approved a framework of which the Plaintiffs may enter into settlement agreements with any of the third-party defendants to the Proceedings. The Plan expressly contemplates the Ernst & Young Settlement (as defined in the Plan), approval of which is now sought.

Who Acts For the E&Y Settlement Class

Koskie Minsky LLP, Siskinds LLP, and Siskinds Desmeules, sncrc (the "Class Counsel") represent the E&Y Settlement Class in the Proceedings. If you want to be represented by another lawyer, you may hire one to appear in court for you at your own expense.

You will not have to directly pay any fees and expenses to Class Counsel. However, if this action succeeds or there is a monetary settlement, Class Counsel will seek to have their fees and expenses paid from any money obtained for the class or paid separately by the defendants.

Proposed Settlement with Ernst & Young

The Plaintiffs have entered into a proposed settlement with Ernst & Young (the "Settlement Agreement"). If the settlement is approved, it will be final and binding and there will be no ability to pursue a claim (if any) against Ernst & Young through an opt-out process under class proceedings or similar legislation. The proposed settlement would settle, extinguish and bar all claims, globally, against Ernst & Young in relation to Sino-Forest including the allegations in the Proceedings. Ernst & Young does not submit to any wrongdoing or liability. The terms of the proposed settlement do not involve the resolution of any claims against Sino-Forest or any of the other defendants. For an update on CCAA orders affecting Sino-Forest, please see the CCAA Monitor's website: <http://www.ccaamonitor.com/info/>. A complete copy of the Settlement Agreement and other information about these proceedings is available at: <http://www.kmlaw.ca/sino-forest-class-action> and www.classaction.ca (the "Class Action Website").

The proposed settlement, if approved and its conditions fulfilled, provides that Ernst & Young will pay CAD\$17,000,000.00 to a Settlement Trust to be administered in accordance with orders of the court. It is the intention of Class Counsel to seek the court's approval of a plan of allocation that distributes the settlement funds, net of counsel fees and other administrative costs and expenses, to members of the E&Y Settlement Class.

In return, the action will be dismissed against Ernst & Young, and there will be an order forever barring claims against it in relation to Sino-Forest including any allegations relating to the Proceedings, including claims (if any) that could be advanced through an opt-out process under class proceedings or similar legislation. In considering whether or how they are affected by the proposed settlement, members of the E&Y Settlement Class and anyone else with claims against Ernst & Young in relation to Sino-Forest should consider the effect of the orders made and steps taken in the Sino-Forest CCAA Proceedings. More information on the Sino-Forest CCAA Proceedings can be found on the Monitor's Website.

The settlement agreement with Ernst & Young is subject to court approval, as discussed below.

Hearings to Approve Settlement on February 4, 2013 in Toronto, Ontario and Subsequent Hearings in Ontario, Quebec and the United States

On February 4, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time), there will be a settlement approval hearing before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice. The hearing will be held at the Canada Life Building, 330 University Avenue, 8th Floor, Toronto, Ontario. The exact courtroom number will be available on a notice board on the 8th Floor.

If the settlement approval motion which is being heard by the Ontario Superior Court of Justice on February 4, 2013 (the "Settlement Approval Motion") is granted, then there will be a further hearing at a later date before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (the "Ontario Allocation/Fee Motion") at which Class Counsel will seek that Court's approval of (1) the plan for allocating the net Ernst & Young settlement fund among the members of the E&Y Settlement Class; and (2) the fees and expense reimbursement requests of Class Counsel.

In addition, if the Settlement Approval Motion is granted, then there may be additional hearings at later dates in the Quebec Superior Court (the "Quebec Motion") and in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "US Motion") at which recognition and implementation of the Settlement Approval Motion and the Ernst & Young Settlement may be sought.

If the Settlement Approval Motion is granted, then a further notice will be disseminated to members of the E&Y Settlement Class advising them of the time and place of the Ontario Allocation/Fee Motion and any Quebec Motion and/or US Motion.

Members of the E&Y Settlement Class, and anyone, including non-Canadians, who has had, could have had or may have a claim of any kind against Ernst & Young, in relation to Sino-Forest, Ernst & Young's audits of Sino-Forest's financial statements and any other work performed by Ernst & Young related to Sino-Forest, may attend at the hearing of the Settlement Approval Motion and ask to make submissions regarding the proposed settlement with Ernst & Young.

Persons intending to object to the Ernst & Young Settlement Agreement are required to: (a) deliver a Notice of Objection, substantially in the form that can be found on the Monitor's Website and the Class Action Website, and, if this Notice is received by mail, enclosed with this Notice (the "Notice of Objection"), to the Monitor, by regular mail, courier or email transmission, to the coordinates indicated on the Notice of Objection, so that it is received by no later than 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on January 18, 2013; and (b) comply with the litigation timetable set forth below. Copies of the Notice of Objection sent to the Monitor will be filed with the court.

Litigation Timetable

By order of the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, persons intending to participate in the Settlement Approval Motion must comply with the following timetable:

- 1. Motion materials are to be delivered no later than January 11, 2013.
- 2. Responding motion materials are to be delivered by January 18, 2013.
- 3. Cross-examinations on affidavits (if any) are to be conducted on January 24 and 25, 2013.
- 4. Written Submissions are to be exchanged on January 30, 2013.

Further Information

If you would like additional information or to object to the Ernst & Young Settlement Agreement, please contact Koskie Minsky LLP, Siskinds LLP, or Siskinds Desmeules LLP at the addresses below:

Koskie Minsky LLP
 20 Queen St. West, Suite 900, Box 52, Toronto, ON, M5H 3R3
 Re: Sino-Forest Class Action
 Tel: 1.866.474.1739 (within North America)
 Tel: 416.595.2159 (outside North America)
 Email: sino-forest.classaction@kmlaw.ca

Siskinds LLP
 680 Waterloo Street, P.O. Box 2520 London, ON N6A 1V8
 Re: Sino-Forest Class Action
 Tel: 1.800.461.6166 x.2380 (within North America)
 Tel: 519.672.2251 x.2380 (outside North America)
 Email: mike.vuong@siskinds.com

Siskinds Desmeules, sncrc
 43 Rue Basile, Bureau 320, Quebec City, Quebec, G1R 4A2
 Re: Sino-Forest Class Action
 Tel: 418.694.2009
 Email: simon.berthel@siskindsdesmeules.com

Interpretation:
If there is a conflict between the provisions of this notice and the Settlement Agreement, the terms of the Settlement Agreement will prevail.

Please do not direct inquiries about this notice to the Court. All inquiries should be directed to Class Counsel.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS NOTICE HAS BEEN AUTHORIZED BY THE ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE.

Initial Administrative Expense Bar Date and Supplemental Administrative Expense Bar Date
 By the Order of the Bankruptcy Court, an Administrative Expense Bar Date (the "Initial Administrative Expense Bar Date") was established on January 18, 2013. The Initial Administrative Expense Bar Date applies to all Administrative Expenses incurred or assumed by the Debtor on or after January 18, 2013 through the Effective Date of the Plan (the "Supplemental Administrative Expense Bar Date"). Administrative Expenses incurred or assumed by the Debtor prior to the Initial Administrative Expense Bar Date are subject to the Supplemental Administrative Expense Bar Date (the "Supplemental Administrative Expense Bar Date").

The Initial Administrative Expense Bar Date and Supplemental Administrative Expense Bar Date collectively, the "Administrative Expense Bar Dates". The procedures set forth below for filing Administrative Expense Claims against the Debtor that arose on or after the Effective Date of the Plan (the "Administrative Expense Claims") apply to all Administrative Expenses, except for those claims of the Debtor that are specifically excluded from the Administrative Expense Bar Dates filing requirements.

1. WHO MUST FILE AN ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM

You MUST file an Administrative Expense Claim if you have an Administrative Expense, Supplemental Administrative Expense, and/or Ordinary Course Administrative Expense Claim, and it is not one of the types of claims excluded in Section 4 below.

As used in this Publication, the term "Administrative Expense" shall mean, as to or against the Debtor, (a) any right to payment, whether or not such right is reduced to judgment, liquidated, unliquidated, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, legal, equitable, secured, or unsecured; and (b) any right to an equitable remedy for breach of performance if such breach gives rise to a claim, whether or not such right is a equitable remedy is reduced to judgment, fixed, contingent, matured, unmatured, disputed, undisputed, secured, or unsecured; but no claims under sections 366(b)(1), 366(b)(2) or 366(b)(3) through (6) of the Bankruptcy Code and (c) first claims in, only in the case of unsecured claims, of a general priority, accrued on or after the Effective Date.

2. WHEN AND WHERE TO FILE

Except as provided for herein, all Administrative Expense Claims on account of Administrative Expenses that are to be filed on or after the Initial Administrative Expense Bar Date shall be filed with the Debtor at the following addresses:

VIA U.S. Postal Service Mail:	By Hand Delivery or Overnight Mail:	By Hand Delivery:
Clery & Leffler LLP Processing Center 1100 Lakeside Drive, Suite 1100 100 Lakeside Drive, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10020	Dovey & Leffler LLP Processing Center 1100 Lakeside Drive, Suite 1100 100 Lakeside Drive, Suite 1100 New York, NY 10020	United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York The Bankruptcy Court Room 504 100 Wall Street New York, NY 10038

No Administrative Expense Claim will be deemed filed until when actually submitted to the Bankruptcy Court or the address listed above on or before the applicable Administrative Expense Bar Date. An Administrative Expense Claim may NOT be delivered to trustee(s) or electronic mail transmission(s). Any Administrative or electronic mail submission will NOT be accepted as to the Administrative Expense Claim is submitted in any of the methods described above.

3. WHO NEED NOT FILE AN ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM

You DO NOT need to file an Administrative Expense Claim if your Administrative Expense claim is one of the following: (a) fees payable to the Office of the United States Trustee pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1032; (b) Administrative Expenses that have already been approved by court of law; (c) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (d) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (e) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (f) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (g) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (h) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (i) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (j) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (k) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (l) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (m) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (n) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (o) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (p) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (q) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (r) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (s) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (t) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (u) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (v) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (w) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (x) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (y) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor; (z) claims of the Debtor that are the property of the Debtor.

Administrative Expense Claims Bar Date will not apply to Initial Administrative Expenses accepted and will be deemed filed on or after the Initial Administrative Expense Bar Date if the claim is not already paid in the ordinary course prior to the Initial Administrative Expense Bar Date.

Finally, all persons and entities that have properly filed a claim of debt, or similar statement, on account of an Administrative Expense in this Chapter 11 case that comply with the provisions and procedures set forth in this Publication, need not file an Administrative Expense Claim on account of the Initial Administrative Expense.

4. CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO FILE AN ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM BY THE APPLICABLE BAR DATE

ANY ENTITY THAT IS REQUIRED TO FILE AN ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM ON ACCOUNT OF AN ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE AGAINST THE DEBTOR, BUT THAT FAILS TO DO SO BY THE APPLICABLE ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS BAR DATE DESCRIBED IN THIS PUBLICATION, SHALL BE FOREVER BARRED, ESTOPPED AND ENJOINED FROM (A) ASSERTING THAT ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE AGAINST THE DEBTOR, ITS ESTATE OR PROPERTY; (B) ASSERTING ANY CLAIM THAT IS A DIRECT OR INDIRECT CLAIM ON ACCOUNT OF SUCH ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE; AND (C) PARTICIPATING IN ANY DISTRIBUTION OR RECEIVING ANY PAYMENT UNDER THE PLAN OR OTHERWISE FROM THE DEBTOR OR ITS ESTATE OR ACCOUNT OF SUCH ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE.

If you require additional information regarding the filing of a claim on account of an Initial Administrative Expense, Supplemental Administrative Expense, and/or Ordinary Course Administrative Expense Claim, you may contact DFL by calling 262-7400 between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time. You may also contact DFL directly by writing to: Dovey & Leffler LLP, Claims Processing Center, c/o Ene Bankruptcy Solutions, LLC, 157 Third Avenue, 3rd Floor, New York, NY 10017.

A HOLDER OF A POSSIBLE ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE AGAINST THE DEBTOR SHOULD CONSULT AN ATTORNEY REGARDING ANY MATTERS NOT COVERED BY THIS PUBLICATION, SUCH AS WHETHER THE HOLDER SHOULD FILE AN ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE CLAIM. DATED: New York, New York, December 14, 2012.

TOBY, SEGAL & SEGAL LLP
 One Penn Plaza, Suite 3335
 New York, New York 10119
 (212) 594-5000
 Scott E. Atner
 Frank A. Oswald
 Brian F. Moore
 ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEBTOR
 AND DEBTOR IN POSSESSION

FEDERAL FORFEITURE NOTICES

Federal forfeiture notices previously published in this space may now be found at www.forfeiture.gov



Department Of Justice
Federal Bureau Of Investigation

BANKRUPTCY

Hearing Date and Time: January 9, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern prevailing time)
 Debtor: ALBERT TROTTI
 Chapter 7 Case No. 10-11319 (CGM)

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 In re: ALBERT TROTTI, as Chapter 7 Trustee of ALBERT TROTTI, et al.
 Chapter 7 Case No. 10-11319 (CGM)

MORGAN STANLEY MORTGAGE SERVICING LIMITED; AMER CAPITAL LIMITED; AMER CAPITAL SECURED INTEREST LLC; AND AMER CAPITAL INS LIMITED.

NOTICE OF HEARING TO CONSIDER TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY RULE 6012 AND SECTION 363 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE APPROVING A SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE AGREEMENT AMONG AMER CAPITAL, INC., MORGAN STANLEY MORTGAGE SERVICING LIMITED AND MORGAN STANLEY FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. AND ALL RELATED AGREEMENTS AND TRANSACTIONS.
 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the presentation of the "Notice" of Albert Trotti, a Trustee of the Debtor, to the United States Bankruptcy Court, Southern District of New York, the Debtor, Albert Trotti, has filed a motion for an order pursuant to Section 363 of the United States Bankruptcy Code and Section 6012 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the "Settlement and Release Agreement," among other things, a settlement and release agreement among Albert Trotti, Morgan Stanley Mortgage Servicing Limited and Morgan Stanley Financial Services, Inc. together, "Morgan Stanley," and one of the right, title and interest that the Trustee and the Debtor, Albert Trotti, have in and to AMER CAPITAL INS LIMITED, a limited liability company.

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

LEGAL NOTICES

Dave Barrows

...of the project...
...of Millersville

Advantage Of Our
Low Low Rates
Bargain Counter
Call Us
h Your Ad Today!
291-8711

BOROUGH OF MILLERSVILLE
EEN ENCLOSURE
UGH OF MILLERSVILLE
will receive sealed
als online via
d for the SCREEN
SURE Project, in
ance with the
ct Documents.
bids may be sub-
and updated
via the PennBid
n until 11:00 AM,
ng time, April 8,
ds will be opened
ad aloud shortly
er at the Borough
100 Municipal
Millersville, PA

work includes all
l, labor, and
ent necessary for
instruction req-
to furnish and
an enclosure

March 20 2013 at 3 30
PM All candidates
involved in the drawing
will be notified prior to
the drawing

CBP/ICE Seized Property Postings Go Online

Public notice of seized property pending forfeiture will no longer be published in the legal section of this publication. Effective March 1, 2013, U.S. Customs & Border Protection (CBP) and Immigration & Customs Enforcement (ICE) will advertise notices of seizure and intent to forfeit on the Department of Justice website, www.forfeiture.gov which will become the primary method to notify the public that property was seized and is subject to forfeiture. The Department of Homeland Security authorized this action in accordance with 19 CFR Part 162, as published in the January 29, 2013, Federal Register. Seized property listings will be posted on the website running in 30 consecutive day ad cycles. Visit www.forfeiture.gov to Learn More.

CHESTER COUNTY

al, to be forfeited in liquidated damages should the bidder default in execution of the contract within 10 days after award of same. Bids may not be withdrawn within 45 days after bid opening. The LIMC, LCPC, an Center for Watershe Protection (CWI) reserves the right to waive irregularities in, reject any and all bids.

The Owner shall award the contract to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder or reject bids within thirty (30) days from the date of bid opening; provided however, if the award is delayed by the requirement of another governmental agency, the sale of bonds, easement acquisition or the award of a grant, the Owner shall reject all bids and award the contract to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder within sixty (60) days from the date of the bid opening.

A mandatory pre-bid meeting will be conducted at Lancaster County Government Center, 1 North Queen Street, Room 102/104, Lancaster, PA 17603 on Friday, March 8, 2013 at 10:00 A.M.

In accordance with the Pennsylvania Antibi

ADVERTISEMENT

Legal Notices

To advertise: 800-366-3975 or WSJ.com/classifieds

CLASS ACTIONS	BANKRUPTCIES	PUBLIC NOTICES
<p>SINO-FOREST CORPORATION NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH ERNST & YOUNG LLP</p> <p>TO: Everyone, including non-Canadians, who acquired Sino-Forest Corporation ("Sino-Forest") securities (including shares and/or bonds) in the primary or secondary market in any jurisdiction between March 31, 2006 and August 26, 2011 (the "E&Y Settlement Class") and to every one, including non-Canadians, who has, had, could have had or may have a claim of any kind against Ernst & Young LLP, Ernst & Young Global Limited or any of its member firms and any person or entity affiliated or connected thereto ("Ernst & Young"), in relation to Sino-Forest, Ernst & Young's audits of Sino-Forest's financial statements and any other work performed by Ernst & Young related to Sino-Forest.</p> <p><u>Background of Sino-Forest Class Action and CCAA Proceedings</u></p> <p>In June and July of 2011, class actions were commenced in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (the "Ontario Proceeding") and the Québec Superior Court (the "Québec Proceeding") (collectively, the "Proceedings") by certain plaintiffs (the "Plaintiffs") against Sino-Forest, its senior officers and directors, its underwriters, a consulting company, and its auditors, including Ernst & Young in</p>	<p>UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK</p> <p>In RE : Chapter 11 DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP, : Case No. 12-12321 (AG) Debtors.</p> <p>NOTICE OF DEADLINES FOR FILING OF ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS BAR DATE IS JANUARY 18, 2013</p> <p>(SUPPLEMENTAL ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIMS BAR DATE IS THIRTY DAYS AFTER THE FILING AND SERVICE OF THE NOTICE OF THE PLAN EFFECTIVE DATE AT 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time)</p> <p>The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York has entered an Order establishing January 18, 2013 at 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) (the "Final Administrative Claims Bar Date") as the last date for each person or entity (including individuals, partnerships, corporations, partnerships and trusts) to file an Administrative Expense Claim (as defined herein) against Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP (the "Debtors") for all Administrative Expenses that arose on or after May 28, 2012 (the "Petition Date") through December 31, 2012 (the</p>	<p>ATTENTION:</p>