CM/GC TESTIMONY, Senate Bill 254

Chairman and Committee members,

My name is Tom Gerding

- 1. Owner of Gerding Companies in Corvallis,
 - a. Work as a GC
 - b. Work as a specialty subcontractor
- 2. Member the Work Group that helped create the language in this bill
- AGC President 900 members representing subcontractors & suppliers, GC's, and industry associates all over the state. Large, small, union, open shop.

I am really glad to be here before you today speaking to why the industry needs this bill. CM/GC has become a divisive issue within our industry <u>and</u> within our membership at AGC.

Myself, I believe I am as **conflicted of a player on this matter** as anyone active in the industry today can be. There are times I **benefit** from CM/GC in its current state, and there are **times I lose** from CM/GC in its current state. There are others out there who are not so conflicted, they either hate it or love it. What we **need** is **better transparency & consistency** when CM/GC is being **sought out** and **used** by the public owners. So that:

- 1. It is used on the **appropriate** projects
- 2. The CM/GC that should get the project actually does get it
- 3. The subcontractors that **should be awarded** the work are getting the work

I support this Senate Bill 254 as a great first step

- 1. It improves transparency in the owners initial exemption process
- 2. It establishes a **consistent set of Model Rules** that **all owners** must work within. This will **improve consistency &transparency** for the:
 - a. CM/GC selection process
 - b. And after award, the **subcontractor selection** process

Speak to the benefit of having sat on the Work Group for **nearly year's worth of meetings**. Large work group comprised of:

- 1. Subcontractors
- 2. General Contractors (heavy highway & building)
- 3. Trades & Associates
- 4. Large cross section of public owners

During the half-day meetings we talked through the varying perspectives and many intricacies of the CM/GC process. We all heard the challenges & frustrations some experience while also the value and needs it provides to others. This is the end product of a year long collaboration and negotiation process.

This is a good Bill for our industry and simply stated, **good public policy**. It is not perfect but is a **very good step forward**.

Thank you Mr. Chairman and I would be happy to answer any questions you or committee members may have.