Testimony against HB 2199 Senate Committee on Rules

Wednesday May 22, 2013 3:00 P.M. HR A

Members:

Sen. Diane Rosenbaum, Chair Sen. Ted Ferrioli, Vice Chair Sen. Bruce Starr Sen Lee Beyer Sen. Ginny Burdick

I strongly oppose the passage of HB 2199 as written.

Section 1

Item (H) The number and location of all video surveillance cameras where ballots may be tallied; may open the way to banning direct observation of ballot counting in Oregon.

Item J (3) a – Number of ballots printed (or ordered, along with usual overrun percentage), and Item **J(3) B** should be made public at the time the printing is ordered.

(a) The number of ballots printed.

(b) The number of ballots mailed to voters.

Items J (c),(d),(e), and (f) should be made public on election day.

(c) The number of ballots issued to voters at the office of the county clerk.

(d) The number of ballots returned undeliverable.

(e) The number of rejected ballot envelopes.

(f) The number of tallied ballots.

Items J (g),(h),(i) made available as soon as election is certified, as well as any amendments to items a-f above.

(g) The number of ballots used for duplication.

(h) The number of test ballots.

(i) The number of archived ballots.

This will enable observers to be able to verify the numbers on election night, and make sure that numbers of unused ballots that are present at 8 PM on election night adds up within a reasonable margin. This formula must be determined and distributed as part of this legislation.

Section 2

item 2 (b)- marking must be defined by county clerk, and such marking must be observable. Preferably these "marks" would be destructive in nature, like a hole drilled through them. These unused ballots must be counted, and this count must be observable.

(b) Mark each unused ballot as an unused ballot or seal, secure and account for each unused ballot

Item 3 – By election day, each county clerk should KNOW approximately how many blank ballots they will need for the enhancement/duplication process. All but this number plus a percentage for reserve must be destroyed as soon as possible, but no later than midnight on election day.Additionally – there should be NO NEED for any blank ballots for a recount. Isn't the purpose of a RECOUNT to count ballots already cast?

(3) As soon as practicable after the final day permitted for a contest of the election or for filing a demand for a recount, the county clerk shall destroy all unused ballots.

The emergency clause of this bill prevents citizens from pursuing an initiative to require destruction of unused ballots on election day. This is a misuse of the emergency clause – there is nothing requiring it in this legislation

Statute Chapter 254 has been amended many times regarding election law in Oregon. It has been amended many times just since passage of Vote by Mail in 1998.

Requirements for handling of ballots, verifying voters, and counting votes are necessarily quite different for vote by mail than the rules required for our previous voting at polling places on election day.

These rules have been amended and changed so many times that it is now reduced to what I consider "bad law". There are contradictions, ambiguities, and portions that are difficult for clerks to follow. Some rules, such as those regarding handling of ballots at 8 PM on Election night, (254.483) have been found to be un-interpretable even by a judge, because of inconsistencies and ambiguities in the law.

I would like to see all the rules rewritten regarding the printing, mailing, and handling of all blank ballots – unmailed and returned, and rules regarding handling of voted ballots, so we can remove inconsistencies from these rules and procedures, helping to ensure a sound elections process and remove any doubt of the integrity of the process.

Respectfully submitted,

Sandy Raddue 14740 SW Tierra del Mar Dr. Beaverton, OR 97007 971-570-5484

Oregon Republican Party Election Integrity Chair