

PEOPLE vs CORPORATIONS Elected and Public Employees union members,,An Open Message to Police & Military

The Biggest Secret In American History Part 1 TubeTruthers com!

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8nt5T-JNLAo>

[The Biggest Secret In American History Part 1 TubeTruthers com!](http://www.youtube.com)
www.youtube.com

[The Dick Act of 1902 - Gun Control My ASS](http://www.youtube.com)

www.youtube.com

[Rod Class revisits the The Dick Act of 1902 AKA the militia Act of 1903 which can never be repealed on this holiday](http://www.youtube.com)

PEOPLE vs CORPORATIONS Elected and Public Employees union members,,An Open Message to Police & Military

Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution states: "No State shall pass any law impairing the obligation of contracts." The individual's right to contract is unlimited and no State may interfere with that right

2.7-14

Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any state or territory, subjects or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, equity, or other proper proceeding for redress.(Civil Rights) 42 U.S.C. 1963.

[14th Amendment Citizenship: Citizen or citizen? - YouTube](http://www.youtube.com)

Prior to the alleged ratification of the 14th Amendment, there was no legal definition of a "citizen of the United States", as everyone had primary ...

www.youtube.com/watch?v=y4xV4MTnCdc - Cached
.Play Video

[Bombshell: Rod Class gets FOURTH Administrative Ruling "Gov't ...](http://www.dailypaul.com/258853)

Bombshell: Rod Class gets FOURTH Administrative Ruling "Gov't Offices are Vacant"- All Gov't Officials are "Private Contractors" Submitted by The South on Sun, 10/14 ...

www.dailypaul.com/258853 - Cached

An Open Message to Police & Military

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zV0pl9yiURY>

PEOPLE vs CORPORATIONS Elected and Public Employees union members

The "court" of the monopoly corporation dba the Govt. of the US of A, has no legitimate

jurisdiction or authority over flesh and blood men and women without our consent to transfer that authority to them. The mere fact that we might have a token on the Elite's Monopoly board does not mean that we have to set aside our humanity. We have every unalienable Right to call a "time out," from the game while we go get a beer or a soda!

Under Federal law which is applicable to all states, the U.S. Supreme Court stated that if a court is "without authority, its judgments and orders are regarded as nullities. They are not voidable, but simply void; and form no bar to a recovery sought, even prior to a reversal in opposition to them. They constitute no justification; and all persons concerned in executing such judgments or sentences, are considered, in law, as trespassers." Elliot v. Piersol, 1 Pet. 328, 340, 26 U.S. 328, 340 (1828)

Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution states:

"No State shall pass any law impairing the obligation of contracts." The individual's right to contract is unlimited and no State may interfere with that right.

The mere fact that a police officer, magistrate, judge, etc., acting under color of law or even upon probable cause, might attempt to serve a notice on a living man or woman in no way diminishes that man's or woman's authority and unalienable Right to contract and to receive compensation for these takings of his time and liberty in the event that the man or woman did not consent to the transaction. In the event that a man or woman does not consent to a particular action and calls a "time out," the liability clock starts ticking for the police officer or other public officer.

Voluntati non fit injuria. He who consents cannot receive an injury. 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 2279, 2327; 4 T. R. 657; Shelf. on mar. & Div. 449.

The corollary is thus: he who does not consent can receive an injury.

"It is the responsibility of those who exercise power to show that it is legitimate." Noam Chomsky

In the case of human bodies – ideas, labor, tissues, etc. – one can observe that the owners of these "commodities," have exclusive prerogatives over the benefits that they can provide:

- They can derive income from them in the form of capture at transaction time.
- They can exclude others from using them.
- They can also grant similar advantages to selected others.

Therefore, until such time as a statute-enforcing police officer, magistrate, attorney, judge, and other so-called public officers actually legitimize their actions by proving their case in

a court of law that a man or woman is guilty of an alleged crime, that man or woman has every Right to “keep the meter running,” so to speak, by putting these people on notice that they have liability pursuant to a Fee Schedule.

If the man or woman has put these people acting like the govt. on notice to the effect that a Fee Schedule is in place, and the people acting in their capacities as police, attorneys, etc., fail to challenge this notice within a specified time, then the man or woman is entitled to recovery of remedy for damages in spite of the outcome of any criminal proceeding that the public officers may initiate as a separate action.

Most fundamentally, these rights to own our bodies as “property” and to engage in voluntary exchange are also basic common law rights, and the two basic principles of voluntary capitalism. These principles are in accordance with the U.S. Constitution as intended by our Founding Fathers. The 5th Amendment states that no one may be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law.

“Where rights as secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which will abrogate them.” *Miranda v. Ariz.*, 384 U.S. 436 at 491 (1966).

The spirit of voluntary capitalism was expressed in this famous Supreme Court case, which reads:

“The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights as a citizen. He is entitled to carry on his private business in his own way. His power to contract is unlimited. He owes no such duty [to submit his books and papers for an examination] to the State, since he receives nothing therefrom, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land [Common Law] long antecedent to the organization of the State, and can only be taken from him by due process of law, and in accordance with the Constitution. Among his rights are a refusal to incriminate himself, and the immunity of himself and his property from arrest or seizure except under a warrant of the law. He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.” *Hale v. Henkel*, 201 U.S. 43 at 47 (1906).

Very few Americans (including lawyers) know that federal legislative and territorial jurisdiction is very limited. It is limited to the ten square miles of Washington, DC, certain military bases where States have ceded jurisdiction, certain territories such as Puerto Rico and Guam, and certain guano islands.

Therefore, as soon as a man or woman can show that a police officer, magistrate, judge, etc., is working for the corporation d/b/a/ the Govt. of the US of A, incorporated in 1871, they have shown that those people do not have jurisdiction over the man or woman on the

land in the various states of the union.

“It is axiomatic that the prosecution must always prove territorial jurisdiction over a crime in order to sustain a conviction therefor.” U.S. v. Benson, 495 F.2d, at 481 (5th Cir., 1974).

This information comes from a 29-page paper by Attorney Lowell H. Becraft, Jr. The Supreme Court has stated:

“The laws of Congress in respect to those matters do not extend into the territorial limits of the states, but have force only in the District of Columbia, and other places that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the national government.” *Caha v. U.S.*, 142 U.S., at 215 (1894). Becraft cites twenty court cases confirming the territorial limitation of federal jurisdiction, including:

U.S. v. Cotroni, 527 F.2d 708, 711 (2nd Cir., 1975) – holding federal wiretap laws as territorial.

Reyes v. Secretary of H.E.W., 476 F.2d 910, 915 (D.C. Cir., 1973) – holding administration of Social Security Act as territorial.

Schoenbaum v. Firstbrook, 268 F. Supp. 385, 392 (S.D.N.Y., 1967) – holding securities act as territorial Property Rights,,

From the Elected and public employees over sight committees,
prez strawman account edward johnston www.oregontreckers.com

Applied consent

Implied consent - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Implied consent is consent which is not expressly granted by a person, ... These laws have generally been upheld by courts as a valid exercise of the states' police ...

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Implied_consent - Cached

Smart Meters - YouTube

We have upgraded that letter of warning and refusal to the power company and it no longer fits in the allotted space here. You can find that by going to ...

www.youtube.com/watch?v=8JNFr_j6kdI - Cached

.Play Video

More results from youtube.com »

The Dark Side of 'Smart' Meters - YouTube

In this invitational presentation to the San Francisco Tesla Society consulting engineer Rob States explains how PG&E's so-called ' smart' meters work and ...

www.youtube.com/watch?v=FLcCTaSG2-U - Cached

.Play Video,,,

Stop Smart Meters! | Fighting for health, privacy, and safety

Two weeks ago, Stop Smart Meters! went to the San Francisco Green Festival, where over 30,000 environmentalists converge twice a year to discuss the state of our ...

stopsmartmeters.org - Cached

Say NO to Smart Meters

Read all about Smart Meters and find out how to deal with them.

nosmartmeters.org - Cached

SmartMeterDangers.org-Home

SmartMeterDangers.org provides educational and scientific information to the public about health effects and other problems related to wireless smart meters.

www.smartmeterdangers.org - Cached

Why Stop Smart Meters? | Stop Smart Meters!

Please check the front page for the latest news: StopSmartMeters.org. Check Frequently Asked Questions for detailed information. Utilities across the country are ...

stopsmartmeters.org/why-stop-smart-meters - Cached

Meet Your Strawman

Meet Your Strawman ... what would your reply be? It may surprise you to know that the people who employ them want them to protect them (the employers) ...

www.yourstrawman.com

Meet Your Strawman! - YouTube

The story of how everyone has a strawman created for them at birth and how it is used to collect revenue for your government. A fun and informative ...

www.youtube.com/watch?v=ME7K6P7hlko - Cached

.Play Video

are you thinking yet

Income Tax Declared Unconstitutional | Suite101

The U.S. Supreme Court, in 1895, ruled unconstitutional a federal law containing income taxes, with arguments concerning class warfare and the definition of a direct tax.

suite101.com/...tax-declared-unconstitutional-a208974 - Cached

wake up,,,stop paying like Iceland did,,Treaty of 1213 - The Beginning of the Lie | Truth Control

Once upon a time before the year 1066 the people of England held Allodial title to their land. Not even the king could take the land for not paying a tithe.

www.truthcontrol.com/articles/treaty-1213-beginning-lie - Cached,,,The Right to Travel vs

Driver's License Scam - APFN

The Right to Travel vs. Driver's License Scam: Legal Documents. 7 March A.D. 2009 My

pre-trial-de-novo appeal is docketed as No. 08-1096. That petition for cert will ...

www.apfn.org/apfn/drivers-license-scam.htm - Cached.....Income Tax Declared

Unconstitutional | Suite101

The U.S. Supreme Court, in 1895, ruled unconstitutional a federal law containing income taxes, with arguments concerning class warfare and the definition of a direct tax.

suite101.com/...tax-declared-unconstitutional-a208974 - Cached

Are Federal Taxes Unconstitutional? Was the 16th Amendment ...

Are Federal Taxes Unconstitutional,,,,,THE UNAMERICAN UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROPERTY TAX

A MAN'S HOME SHOULD BE HIS CASTLE. The land belongs to you, the one who bought it, not the State. A property tax is the State declaring that it owns the land, and ...

nstarzone.com/PTAX.html - Cached,,,,Property Taxes Are Unconstitutional

Property Taxes Are Unconstitutional, Board of Equalization Culver City, Is the Income Tax Unconstitutional, Property Taxes Illegal, Is Property Tax Constitutional

www.grebog.net/.../Property-Taxes-Are-Unconstitutional.html - Cached

Government will ask SC to declare fuel tax unconstitutional ...

Government will ask SC to declare fuel tax unconstitutional. ... Edition No. 3608 - This publication is a property of NEFIR S.A. - Issn 1852 - 9224 ...

www.buenosairesherald.com/...will-ask...tax-unconstitutional - Cached

HSUS: Lawyers In Cages - YouTube

The Humane Society of the United States is not affiliated with your local pet shelter, but ads imply that they are. You can find more information at [http ...](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XTrhQd9GHIE)

www.youtube.com/watch?v=XTrhQd9GHIE - Cached

.Pl

ay Video

Penn and Teller - P.E.T.A. (Full Episode) - YouTube

PETA (People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals) ... 29:38 Penn and Teller - Feng Shui / Bottled Water (Full Episode) by CrystalEye736 35,625 views;

www.youtube.com/watch?v=inFtOMx8nDU - Cached

.Play Video

What happened in Freetopia? A video fable about modern America/v.asp?v=16899B46FEB0BBE3E322E75EA08442A8

<http://v.asp/?v=16899B46FEB0BBE3E322E75EA08442A8>

U.C.C. - ARTICLE 1-§1-207.

UCC - Uniform Commercial Code. From the LII, a public service of Cornell Law School.

www.law.cornell.edu/ucc/1/1-207.html - Cached

ENFORCEMENT OF CITY/COUNTY CODES PROHIBITED

California Law prohibits Cities and Counties from enforcing City or County Codes and Ordinances upon property that is not OWNED by the City or County even if the property is within City limits.

California Penal Code: Chapter 5b CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF COUNTY, CITY, OR CITY AND COUNTY ORDINANCES Sections 853.1 through 853.4 was repealed in 1967.

The Supreme Court ruled that Municipalities cannot exert any acts of ownership and control over property that is not OWNED by them, see Palazzolo v. Rhode Island 533 US 606, 150 L.Ed. 2d 592, 121 S.Ct. ____ (2001) (no expiration date on the taking clause for City's illegal enforcement of its Codes on the man's private property and restricting the man's business), affirming both Lucas v South Carolina Coastal Council, 505 US 1003, 120 L.Ed. 2d 798 (1992). (butterfly activists and Code Enforcement cannot restrict development of the man's private swampland unless they lawfully acquire the land FIRST, surveying with binoculars constitutes a "takings"), and Monterey v. Del Monte Dunes, 526 US 687 (1999), 143 L.Ed. 2d 882 S.Ct. ____ (1998).

In the Monterey case, the California private property owner was awarded \$8 million for Code Enforcement's illegal trespass and restriction of his business, and another \$1.45 million for the aggravation of a forced sale.

Federal Law also prohibits Cities and Counties from issuing citations against businesses, see Title 18 U.S.C. 891-896, quoting Section 891 "An extortionate means is any means which involves the use, or an express or implicit threat of use, of violence or other criminal means to cause harm to the person, reputation, or property."

Black's Law Dictionary 5th Edition (page 1140): Recaption. At Common Law, a retaking or taking back. A species of remedy by the mere act of the party injured (otherwise termed "reprisal"), which happens when anyone has deprived another of his property in goods or chattels personal, or wrongfully detains one's wife, child, or servant. In this case, the owner of the goods, and the husband, parent, or master may lawfully claim and retake them, wherever he happens to find them, so it be not in a riotous manner, or attended with a breach of the peace.