

**From:** Phillip Combs [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Monday, March 25, 2013 8:43 AM

**To:** Sen Shields; Sen Prozanski; Sen George L; Sen Baertschiger; Sen MonnesAnderson; Newell Channa

**Subject:** Please Vote No on SB 91

Please vote no on SB 91

Reviewing criminal background on tenants is to help protect the property and its other tenants. Eliminating the ability for a tenant to be screened for repeated drunk driving incidents or identity theft/fraud is something that should be allowed to protect the other tenants and their families/friends. It is beyond physical safety. My parents had their ID stolen just recently and their retirement plans have been delayed in order to sort out the problems that have come from that. It is a terrible experience. I was also in a car accident, hit by a drunk driver, when I was younger and was fortunate to make it, but cannot in good conscience say that to look the other way (or not prevent what could be prevented) is an acceptable solution. Crime recidivism is so high that such crimes are more likely to occur again according to the USDOJ (you can verify at their website) and the highlighted 2007 study, within 3 years of initial conviction over 60 percent had been convicted again of the same crime. Please oppose the SB 91 Bill and avoid forcing landlords that otherwise might be protecting their residents and guests from putting them at risk. Thanks,

Phil Combs