ROB SAXTON Deputy Superintendent of Public Instruction



OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Public Service Building, 255 Capitol Street NE, Salem, Oregon 97310 Phone (503) 947-5600 • Fax (503) 378-5156 • www.ode.state.or.us

> House Education Committee March 22, 2013 Testimony on House Bill 3093

Chair Gelser and members of the committee, for the record I am Cindy Hunt Government and Legal Affairs Manager for the Oregon Department of Education. Today I am here to provide information about HB 3093.

# HB 3093 addresses fiscal accountability and other issues that arose regarding public charter schools as a result of a joint investigation conducted by the Department of Education and Department of Justice.

#### **Background:**

In March 2010, the Department of Education and Department of Justice began a joint investigation into the activities of the "AllPrep" charter schools. The investigation focused on the misappropriation of State School Funds and federal grant funds. This investigation led to the Department of Justice filing in January, 2013 a \$20 million racketeering complaint against Tim King and Norm Donohue who operated these schools through their nonprofit corporation Ed Choices. Below is an excerpt from the complaint which summarizes the various laws that the defendants are charged with violating:

"While associated with the Enterprise ...., the Defendants participated directly and indirectly in said Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity constituting more than two violations of falsifying business records under ORS 165.080(1), more than two violations of unsworn falsification under ORS 164.015, more than two violations of wire fraud under 18 USC 1343, and more than two violations of money laundering under ORS 164.170(1)(b)(B)(i)."

Also through the investigation, the Department of Education identified areas in state law that should be amended to address some of the issues that arose regarding these schools. These areas include:

- Audits
- Sound financial management systems
- Grounds for termination and plans to correct financial related deficiencies
- Evaluation of charter applicants based on the prior history of the applicant

Prior to the investigation, none of the "AllPrep" Charter schools had submitted annual audits as required. Eventually, the audits that were received by the school district and the Department highlighted financial improprieties in the charter schools and would have served as a warning to both the school's sponsors and the Department the schools were not meeting state laws. Unlike school districts, current state law does not specifically allow the Department to withhold State School Funds for failure to submit an audit. Additionally, failure to submit an audit is not specific grounds for termination of a school.

For the 2011-12 fiscal year, the Department has received 67 charter school audits with 57 charter audits still outstanding. These audits were due December 30, 2012.



OREGON DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Public Service Building, 255 Capitol Street NE, Salem, Oregon 97310 Phone (503) 947-5600 • Fax (503) 378-5156 • www.ode.state.or.us

After many of the financial improprieties of the AllPrep schools were discovered, some of the sponsoring districts had concerns about the fiscal management of the schools. Each of the schools hired new directors and recruited new board members to oversee the school. After the schools' reorganization, although many of the sponsoring districts had grounds for termination, many desired to instead work closely with the schools to address the financial improprieties and hold the schools more accountable. HB 3093 addresses this by allowing a sponsor and school to agree to a plan to correct deficiencies in the case of a school failing to maintain financial stability or failure to maintain a sound financial management system. HB 3093 also allows a sponsor to withhold in trust up to 50 percent of the moneys owed a school pending compliance with a plan to correct deficiencies.

# Summary of the provisions of HB 3093:

Section 1.

• Specifies that failure to have an audit prepared is grounds for termination of a charter school.

Section 2 and 3.

- Allows the Department to withhold State School Funds from a public charter school if the school does not submit an annual audit.
- Imposes the same penalties on charter schools for failure to submit an audit as imposed on school districts.

## Section 4.

- Specifies that failure to have an audit prepared is grounds for termination of a charter school.
- Makes failure to maintain sound financial management system immediate grounds for termination.
- Allows sponsor and charter school to agree to develop a plan to correct deficiencies if grounds for termination includes failure to maintain financial stability or failure to maintain sound financial management system.
- Allows sponsor to withhold up to 50 percent of moneys owed to charter school and hold moneys in trust pending completed plan.

## Section 5.

- Allows school district to consider prior history, if any, of a charter applicant in operating a public charter school or in providing educational services.
- Some of our charter school applicants have a long history of successful operation of a school. While other charter school applicants have a negative history of operation of a school. A district should be allowed to consider both of these histories when evaluated a charter application for a new school.