

A-Engrossed
Senate Bill 1543

Ordered by the Senate February 16
Including Senate Amendments dated February 16

Printed pursuant to Senate Interim Rule 213.28 by order of the President of the Senate in conformance with pre-session filing rules, indicating neither advocacy nor opposition on the part of the President (at the request of Senate Interim Committee on Business, Transportation and Economic Development)

SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure.

[Authorizes local government to approve quasi-judicial zone change consistent with comprehensive plan map designation for real property without determining whether zone change has significant effect on existing or planned transportation facility.]

Requires Oregon Transportation Commission to make annual report to legislative committees about funding available for certain transportation projects.

Specifies transportation projects to which moneys remaining from completed transportation projects must be reallocated.

Prohibits maritime pilot licensee or trainee from piloting vessel on Coos Bay bar pilotage ground or Yaquina Bay bar pilotage ground if licensee or trainee, or family member of licensee or trainee, has financial interest in boat or equipment used to assist vessel in entering or exiting bay.

Declares emergency, effective on passage.

A BILL FOR AN ACT

1
2 Relating to transportation; creating new provisions; amending section 64, chapter 865, Oregon Laws
3 2009; and declaring an emergency.

4 **Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:**

5 **SECTION 1. On or before November 1 of each year, the Oregon Transportation Com-**
6 **mission shall submit a report to the interim legislative committees related to transportation**
7 **issues. The report must include the following components:**

8 (1) **The amount of funding available for reallocation as a result of completion of the**
9 **transportation projects described in section 64, chapter 865, Oregon Laws 2009, for less than**
10 **the amounts originally allocated for the projects.**

11 (2) **A list of transportation projects, ranked in order of priority by the commission, from**
12 **the list of projects described in section 64, chapter 865, Oregon Laws 2009, that require ad-**
13 **ditional funding to be completed.**

14 **SECTION 2. Section 1 of this 2012 Act becomes operative on July 1, 2012.**

15 **SECTION 3. Section 64, chapter 865, Oregon Laws 2009, is amended to read:**

16 **Sec. 64.** (1) Proceeds of the bonds, as defined in ORS 367.010, authorized under ORS 367.620
17 (3)(c) may be used to finance all or any portion of the projects as listed in subsection (2) of this
18 section. The **Oregon Transportation** Commission shall determine the order of completion for the
19 projects listed in subsection (2) of this section.

20 (2) The following amounts are allocated for the projects listed below:
21

NOTE: Matter in **boldfaced** type in an amended section is new; matter *[italic and bracketed]* is existing law to be omitted. New sections are in **boldfaced** type.

1		
2	(a) U.S. Highway 26 at the	
3	Glencoe Road Interchange.....\$	32 million
4	(b) Interstate 84 at the	
5	257th Avenue Interchange\$	24 million
6	(c) State Highway 212: Sunrise	
7	Corridor, Phase I, Units 1,	
8	2 and 3.....\$	100 million
9	(d) U.S. Highway 26 at the Shute	
10	Road Interchange, Phase I.....\$	45 million
11	(e) Interstate 5 at the Interstate	
12	205 Interchange\$	11 million
13	(f) U.S. Highway 26: 185th Avenue	
14	to Cornell Road\$	20 million
15	(g) Interstate 205 and State	
16	Highway 213 at the Washington	
17	Street Interchange\$	22 million
18	(h) Interstate 84 at the Hood	
19	River Interchange\$	10 million
20	(i) State Highway 43 at the	
21	Sellwood Bridge Interchange . \$	30 million
22	(j) State Highway 6 at U.S.	
23	Highway 101.....\$	27 million
24	(k) State Highway 99W: Newberg	
25	and Dundee Bypass, Phase I..\$	192 million
26	(L) Interstate 5 at the State Highway	
27	214 Interchange\$	43 million
28	(m) Interstate 5 at Beltline	
29	Highway, Units 3, 4, 5,	
30	6 and 7.....\$	80 million
31	(n) Beltline Highway at	
32	Delta Highway\$	2 million
33	(o) Interstate 5 at Kuebler	
34	Road, Phase I.....\$	15 million
35	(p) Interstate 5 at Kuebler	
36	Road, Phase II (Mill Creek)...\$	4 million
37	(q) State Highway 42, county	
38	line curves\$	10 million
39	(r) State Highway 62: Corridor	
40	Solution, Phase II.....\$	100 million
41	(s) Interstate 5 at the Fern Valley	
42	Road Interchange\$	25 million
43	(t) Interstate 5 Sutherlin	
44	truck climbing lanes.....\$	4.1 million
45	(u) Interstate 5 Sexton truck	

1	climbing lanes	\$	10 million
2	(v) Interstate 84 at the U.S.		
3	Highway 97 Interchange.....	\$	19 million
4	(w) U.S. Highway 97: Crooked		
5	River Bridge to Redmond.....	\$	2 million
6	(x) State Highway 140:		
7	Klamath Falls to the Nevada		
8	state line	\$	23 million
9	(y) Murphy Road at the U.S.		
10	Highway 97 Interchange.....	\$	25 million
11	(z) U.S. Highway 97: Redmond		
12	reroute, Phase II	\$	5 million
13	(aa) Chico Road reconstruction		
14	in Baker County.....	\$	1 million
15	(bb) Chandler Lane reconstruction		
16	in Baker County.....	\$	4.6 million
17	(cc) Interstate 84 Spring Creek		
18	climbing lane in		
19	Union County.....	\$	5.7 million
20	(dd) Northwest Washington Avenue		
21	in Malheur County	\$	4.5 million
22	(ee) Pierce Road improvements		
23	in Union County.....	\$	5 million
24	(ff) State Highway 82 alternate route		
25	in Wallowa County	\$	5 million
26	(gg) Westland Road in Umatilla		
27	County.....	\$	1.1 million
28	(hh) State Highway 207 and State		
29	Highway 206 intersections.....	\$	0.5 million
30	(ii) Vehicle chain-up areas east		
31	of Pendleton on Interstate		
32	84.....	\$	4.7 million
33	(jj) Izee-Paulina Highway in		
34	Grant County	\$	4.5 million
35	(kk) Monroe Street and U.S.		
36	Highway 20 Intersection		
37	in Harney County	\$	0.9 million

38
39
40 **(3)(a) When the commission determines that the project described in subsection (2)(e)**
41 **of this section is completed, the commission shall reallocate any amount remaining from the**
42 **allocation made under subsection (2)(e) of this section to the project described in subsection**
43 **(2)(b) of this section.**

44 **(b) When the commission determines that the project described in subsection (2)(f) of**
45 **this section is completed, the commission shall reallocate any amount remaining from the**

1 **allocation made under subsection (2)(f) of this section to the project described in subsection**
2 **(2)(d) of this section.**

3 [(3)] (4) Prior to June 1, 2010, in addition to the projects listed in subsection (2) of this section,
4 if projects are recommended to the Oregon Transportation Commission by the applicable local area
5 commission on transportation after consultation with the local governments listed in this subsection,
6 the Oregon Transportation Commission may also approve and allocate funds to the following local
7 governments for projects approved by the commission in the following amounts:



9		
10	(a) Baker County	\$ 4.5 million
11	(b) Grant County	\$ 1.1 million
12	(c) Harney County.....	\$ 4.1 million
13	(d) Malheur County.....	\$ 5.8 million
14	(e) Union County.....	\$ 1.3 million
15	(f) Umatilla County	\$ 2.5 million
16	(g) City of Nyssa	\$ 1 million
17	(h) City of Heppner.....	\$ 3 million
18	(i) City of Milton-Freewater.....	\$ 3 million
19	(j) City of Ontario	\$ 1.2 million
20	(k) Port of Umatilla	\$ 4.5 million
21	(L) Port of Morrow.....	\$ 10.7 million



22
23
24 **SECTION 4. Section 5 of this 2012 Act is added to and made a part of ORS chapter 776.**

25 **SECTION 5. (1)(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this subsection, a licensee or**
26 **trainee may not pilot a vessel on the Coos Bay bar pilotage ground or the Yaquina Bay bar**
27 **pilotage ground if the licensee or trainee, or an immediate family member of the licensee or**
28 **trainee, has any financial interest in a boat or equipment assisting the vessel in entering or**
29 **exiting the bay.**

30 **(b) The Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots shall adopt rules allowing a licensee or trainee**
31 **who is prohibited from piloting a vessel under paragraph (a) of this subsection to pilot the**
32 **vessel in an emergency, and fixing rates for pilotage under this paragraph.**

33 **(2) This section does not prohibit a licensee or trainee from piloting a vessel if the**
34 **licensee or trainee, or an immediate family member of the licensee or trainee, owns stock**
35 **in a corporation registered on a national securities exchange that owns boats or equipment**
36 **assisting ships on the Coos Bay bar pilotage ground or the Yaquina Bay bar pilotage ground.**

37 **SECTION 6. (1) Section 5 of this 2012 Act becomes operative on January 1, 2013.**

38 **(2) The Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots may take any action on or before the operative**
39 **date specified in subsection (1) of this section to enable the board to exercise, on and after**
40 **the operative date specified in subsection (1) of this section, all the duties, functions and**
41 **powers conferred on the board by section 5 of this 2012 Act.**

42 **SECTION 7. This 2012 Act being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public**
43 **peace, health and safety, an emergency is declared to exist, and this 2012 Act takes effect**
44 **on its passage.**

