A-Engrossed House Bill 3109

Ordered by the House April 21 Including House Amendments dated April 21

Sponsored by Representatives GARRETT, GILLIAM, Senator DEVLIN; Senator ATKINSON

SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure.

Expands state policy relating to ecosystems. Adds ecosystem services to principles guiding state [land use program] water quality program and state fill-removal program.

Directs Governor's office to facilitate review of state conservation plans. Directs Institute for Natural Resources to provide information to [local governments, state agencies, federal agencies and conservation organizations] specified entities in order to assist in development of integrated ecosystem services methodologies.

Authorizes state agencies **and local governments** to allow use of credits for ecosystems services as compensatory mitigation. Specifies circumstances under which state agency may purchase **or receive** credits for ecosystem services.

Declares emergency, effective on passage.

A BILL FOR AN ACT

Relating to ecosystem services; creating new provisions; amending ORS 196.805, 468.583 and 468B.015; and declaring an emergency.

Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

5 6

4

1

2

STATE POLICY REGARDING ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

7 8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

SECTION 1. ORS 468.583 is amended to read:

468.583. (1) It is the policy of this state to:

- (a) Support the maintenance, enhancement and restoration of ecosystem services throughout Oregon, focusing on the protection of land, water, air, soil and native flora and fauna[.];
- (b) Favor approaches to compliance with state regulatory requirements that maintain the integrity of ecosystem services at the watershed or other appropriate landscape scale;
- (c) Conserve ecosystems in a manner that provides long-term ecological, economic and social benefits; and
 - (d) Provide incentives to private landowners to conserve ecosystem services.
- (2) State agencies and local governments are encouraged to adopt and implement programs that use market-based approaches to conserve or enhance ecosystem services as one approach to meet conservation, economic and environmental regulatory goals within the context of existing programs. Such market-based programs should, to the greatest extent practicable, be designed to:
- (a) Provide an opportunity for added economic return to agricultural and forest landowners who exercise good stewardship;

- (b) Result in restoration and maintenance jobs that provide family wages;
- (c) Produce enduring, quality conservation, permitting and mitigation outcomes that make the greatest ecological difference by targeting priority areas that contain important resources or connectivity between existing conservation lands;
 - (d) Increase the pace of conservation and habitat restoration;
 - (e) Provide disincentives for actions that negatively impact priority areas and services;
- (f) Produce a positive trend in the recovery of species and ecosystems that contribute to the full suite of values of biodiversity and ecosystem services;
 - (g) Complement and add value to other conservation strategies and programs;
 - (h) Operate efficiently with low transaction costs; and
- (i) Have clear and predictable rules and provide for transparent, accountable and credible transactions.

12 13

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

GOVERNOR'S OFFICE

141516

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

- <u>SECTION 2.</u> (1) Before the end of calendar year 2012, the Governor's office, with assistance from the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board, shall:
- (a) Facilitate a review of relevant local, state, regional and federal conservation plans that could impact ecosystem services as defined in ORS 468.581 and propose a process for these plans to be spatially aligned and coordinated, with a focus on potential costs savings and efficiencies in the implementation of the conservation plans.
- (b) Recommend objectives that support the maintenance, enhancement and restoration of ecosystem services as described in ORS 468.583.
- (2) The Governor's office shall make information about the process proposed under subsection (1)(a) of this section, and information about the recommended objectives developed under subsection (1)(b) of this section, available to the public on the website of the Governor's office.

2728

INSTITUTE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES

29 30 31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

42

43

44 45 SECTION 3. (1) The Institute for Natural Resources created under ORS 352.239 shall, to the extent practicable, provide information to local governments, state agencies, federal agencies, conservation organizations, private landowners and businesses to assist in the development of public domain integrated ecosystem services methodologies that:

- (a) Use multiscale modeling applicable to landscape and site level assessments;
- (b) Address context in terms of value within the broader ecosystem;
- (c) Are applicable to all land and water types;
- (d) Address water quality, carbon quantity and diversity functions and values;
- (e) Can be applied with low transaction costs;
- (f) Incorporate best available ecological information;
- 41 (g) Are compatible with existing methodologies where appropriate;
 - (h) Are transparent;
 - (i) Provide consistent results;
 - (j) Quantify ecological values individually and generate an overall ecosystem integrity score;

- (k) Provide for the monitoring of cumulative effects and overall program effectiveness; and
- (L) Are flexible enough to allow private landowners to receive payments for multiple types of ecosystem services.
- (2) The Institute for Natural Resources shall make any information relevant to integrated ecosystem assessment methodologies developed under subsection (1) of this section available on its website.

1 2

STATE AGENCIES AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

- SECTION 4. (1) State agencies and local governments may allow the use of credits for ecosystem services for compensatory mitigation and water quality credit trading. If credits are used, state agencies and local governments may ensure that:
- (a) Projects result in a net gain in overall ecosystem services, with a clear emphasis on the most sensitive resources;
- (b) Long-term ecological viability is considered, and preference is given to sites with the greatest potential to endure;
- (c) Mitigation and trading investments are structured for the protection and enhancement of the priority resources identified in state and local conservation plans;
- (d) The acquisition of credits for ecosystem services is allowed in advance of compliance needs;
- (e) Service area boundaries are, to the extent practicable, flexible enough to capture significant ecological benefits but do not result in the severe loss of localized ecological function in favor of restoration in a distant location;
- (f) Ecological assessments for mitigation purposes are, to the extent practicable, functions-based, where methodologies are available to quantify ecological functions; and
- (g) Preservation and restoration are both considered appropriate for mitigation and trading.
- (2)(a) State agencies and local governments are encouraged to compare cost and consider natural infrastructure as part of, or in lieu of, new development projects and infrastructure.
- (b) In order to encourage state agencies and local governments to compare cost and consider natural infrastructure as described in paragraph (a) of this subsection, the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board is encouraged to coordinate with state agencies, local governments and the private sector to develop technical assistance tools that support and facilitate the use of natural infrastructure as part of, or in lieu of, new development projects and infrastructure.
- (3) State agencies and local governments are encouraged to participate in public-private partnerships to develop, test and implement ecosystem services approaches and methodologies.
- (4) State agencies and local governments are encouraged to coordinate with federal agencies when implementing programs related to ecosystem services.
- SECTION 5. (1) State natural resource agencies, in implementing their duties and in providing any grants for conservation purposes, are encouraged, to the greatest extent practicable, to undertake projects and programs that:
 - (a) Are consistent with local or state conservation plans;

- (b) Ensure long-term environmental stewardship;
- (c) Address multiple conservation values, especially resilience to challenges related to climate change, carbon sequestration, water quantity and quality and biodiversity;
 - (d) Emphasize the protection of high-quality, functioning ecosystems;
 - (e) Focus on restoration where restoration efforts will most likely be successful;
- (f) Provide incentives to private landowners who voluntarily implement conservation measures on their property; and
 - (g) Are relatively low cost.
- (2) In any documents related to grants for conservation purposes, state natural resource agencies are encouraged to specify the circumstances under which ecosystem services may be sold to third parties.

SECTION 6. A state agency may purchase or receive credits for ecosystem services:

- (1) To comply with mitigation requirements or advance the mission of the state agency to achieve ecological outcomes.
- (2) In advance of any mitigation requirements to ensure that the state agency mitigates the impacts of the agency's development projects.
- (3) As part of grant or landowner conservation incentive programs administered by a state agency or as part of voluntary ecosystem services markets.

WATER QUALITY

SECTION 7. ORS 468B.015 is amended to read:

468B.015. Whereas pollution of the waters of the state constitutes a menace to public health and welfare, creates public nuisances, is harmful to wildlife, fish and aquatic life and impairs domestic, agricultural, industrial, recreational and other legitimate beneficial uses of water, and whereas the problem of water pollution in this state is closely related to the problem of water pollution in adjoining states, it is hereby declared to be the public policy of the state:

- (1) To conserve the waters of the state through innovative approaches, including but not limited to the appropriate reuse of water and wastes;
- (2) To protect, maintain and improve the quality of the waters of the state for public water supplies, for the propagation of wildlife, fish and aquatic life and for domestic, agricultural, industrial, municipal, recreational and other legitimate beneficial uses;
- (3) To provide that no waste be discharged into any waters of this state without first receiving the necessary treatment or other corrective action to protect the legitimate beneficial uses of such waters;
- (4) To provide for the prevention, abatement and control of new or existing water pollution; [and]
- (5) To cooperate with other agencies of the state, agencies of other states and the federal government in carrying out these objectives[.]; and
- (6) To protect, restore and enhance ecosystem services, as defined in ORS 468.581, in implementing the provisions of this chapter.

FILL-REMOVAL

SECTION 8. ORS 196.805 is amended to read:

196.805. (1) The protection, conservation and best use of the water resources of this state are
matters of the utmost public concern. Streams, lakes, bays, estuaries and other bodies of water in
this state, including not only water and materials for domestic, agricultural and industrial use but
also habitats and spawning areas for fish, avenues for transportation and sites for commerce and
public recreation, are vital to the economy and well-being of this state and its people. Unregulated
removal of material from the beds and banks of the waters of this state may create hazards to the
health, safety and welfare of the people of this state. Unregulated filling in the waters of this state
for any purpose, may result in interfering with or injuring public navigation, fishery and recre-
ational uses of the waters. In order to provide for the best possible use of the water resources of
this state, it is desirable to centralize authority in the Director of the Department of State Lands,
and implement control of the removal of material from the beds and banks or filling of the waters
of this state.

- (2) When administering fill and removal statutes, the director shall take into consideration all beneficial uses of water including protection, restoration and enhancement of ecosystem services, as defined in ORS 468.581, and streambank protection [when administering fill and removal statutes].
- (3) There shall be no condemnation, inverse condemnation, other taking, or confiscating of property under ORS 196.600 to 196.905 without due process of law.
- (4) The director shall delineate wetlands in accordance with the United States Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual of 1987, or subsequent federal manual as adopted by rule by the director, and applicable guidance issued by the United States Army Corps of Engineers for the area in which the wetlands are located.
- (5) The Department of State Lands shall give priority to the review of wetland delineation reports submitted with or in advance of an application for fill or removal of material from the waters of this state.

1 2

MISCELLANEOUS

SECTION 9. Sections 3 to 6 of this 2011 Act are added to and made a part of ORS 468.581 to 468.587.

<u>SECTION 10.</u> The unit captions used in this 2011 Act are provided only for the convenience of the reader and do not become part of the statutory law of this state or express any legislative intent in the enactment of this 2011 Act.

EMERGENCY CLAUSE

SECTION 11. This 2011 Act being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health and safety, an emergency is declared to exist, and this 2011 Act takes effect on its passage.