

## FISCAL IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

Measure: SB 148

Seventy-Sixth Oregon Legislative Assembly – 2011 Regular Session  
Legislative Fiscal Office

---

Prepared by: Matt Stayner  
Reviewed by: Kim To, John Borden, Doug Wilson  
Date: 2/14/2011

---

### Measure Description:

Requires person engaging in business of offering totalizator services using equipment located within state to obtain licensing from Oregon Racing Commission.

### Government Unit(s) Affected:

Oregon Racing Commission, Judicial Department, Public Defense Services Commission

### Local Government Mandate:

This bill does not affect local governments' service levels or shared revenues sufficient to trigger Section 15, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

### Analysis:

SB 148 would allow the Oregon Racing Commission to license totalizator companies and charge a fee for licensure. These companies are not currently licensed in Oregon. Although the proposed legislation does not directly impact the agency's expenditures, the agency anticipates generating additional licensing fees as a result of the adoption of this legislation. The licensing requirement of the proposed legislation applies to totalizator services on or after July 1, 2012. Currently, there are four totalizator companies that operate in Oregon for which the agency anticipates approximately \$3,500 in fee revenues for 2012 and each subsequent fiscal year.

The proposed legislation is added to and made a part of Chapter 462 of the Oregon Revised Statute and as such, failure to comply to the licensing requirement on the part of the totalizator company results in a misdemeanor offence.

The Public Defense Services Commission noted that the Oregon Judicial Department data shows that no charges were filed under any provision of ORS Chapter 462 between January 1, 2006 and June 30, 2010, and therefore doubted that there would be a significant number, if any, new charges filed related to the legislation should it be adopted, nor did they assume that persons operating totalizator business would be financially eligible for court-appointed counsel.

The Judicial Department anticipates a possible minimal fiscal impact resulting from the proposed legislation due to contested rules and criminal cases.

No fiscal impact is anticipated for District Attorneys.