

REVENUE: No revenue impact

FISCAL: Minimal fiscal impact, no statement issued

Action: Do Pass

Vote: 8 - 0 - 0

Yeas: Beyer, Gilliam, Krieger, Nolan, Roblan, VanOrman, Wingard, Clem

Nays: 0

Exc.: 0

Prepared By: Beth Herzog, Administrator

Meeting Dates: 2/18

WHAT THE MEASURE DOES: Continues existing prohibition on siting destination resorts in an area of especially sensitive big game habitat as determined by Department of Fish and Wildlife in July, 1984 and includes any additional lands designated by county as especially sensitive big game habitat. Provides if State Fish and Wildlife Commission amends 1984 determination for entire county and county amends comprehensive plan to adopt amended determination, destination resort may not be sited in areas of especially sensitive game habitat on amended determination. Prohibits counties from allowing destination resorts to be sited on lands predominantly classified as being in Fire Regime Condition Class 3, unless county approves wildlife protection plan that demonstrates site can be developed without being at high overall risk of fire. Directs counties to require an applicant to submit economic impact analysis of proposed development for destination resort sites west of Coast Range and within 10 miles of urban growth boundary (UGB) or east of Coast Range and within 25 miles of UGB. Requires analysis to include projected impacts within county and cities with UGBs within specified distances of site. Directs counties to require applicant to submit traffic impact analysis of proposed development that includes measures to avoid or mitigate proportionate share of adverse effects of transportation on state highways and other transportation facilities in the county and cities with UGBs within specified distance of site for destination resort sites west of Coast Range and within 10 miles of UGB or east of Coast Range and within 25 miles of UGB. Declares emergency, effective upon passage.

ISSUES DISCUSSED:

- Updating big game habitat maps that were prepared by ODFW in 1984 will result in more accurate maps
- Mitigates wildfire dangers for destination resorts in high risk areas

EFFECT OF COMMITTEE AMENDMENT: No amendment.

BACKGROUND: In 1984, the Land Conservation and Development Commission adopted provisions of a goal relating to recreational needs that allowed for the siting of destination resorts outside of urban growth boundaries. In 1987, the provisions of this goal were enacted into law.