

2009 Regular Legislative Session
FISCAL ANALYSIS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION
Prepared by the Oregon Legislative Fiscal Office

MEASURE NUMBER: SJR 36

STATUS: Original

SUBJECT: Amending Constitution to create minimum assessed value for property taxation, to change exception valuation of property, and to add to classes of property subject to exception valuation. Referring to the next biennial general election.

GOVERNMENT UNIT AFFECTED: Oregon Secretary of State

PREPARED BY: Erica Kleiner

REVIEWED BY: Daron Hill

DATE: April 15, 2009

	<u>2009-2011</u>	<u>2011-2013</u>
EXPENDITURES:		
Elections Division		
Voters' Pamphlet – General Fund	\$38,031	\$0
REVENUES:		
Elections Division – General Fund	\$5,500	\$0

EFFECTIVE DATE:

GOVERNOR'S BUDGET: This bill is not anticipated by the Governor's recommended budget.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT MANDATE: This bill does not affect local governments' service levels or shared revenues sufficient to trigger Section 15, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

ANALYSIS: This measure would refer for voter approval a Constitutional amendment to create minimum assessed value for property taxation equal to 50 percent of real market value and maximum assessed value for property taxation equal to 75 percent of real market value, to change exception valuation of property to 75 percent of real market value, and to add to classes of property subject to exception valuation property sold or transferred to new owner. Referring to the next biennial general election. The referral would be on the ballot at the statewide biennial general election of 2010.

The fiscal impact to the Secretary of State's office is the state's portion of incremental costs incurred for an already-funded election. The mechanism for funding the voters' pamphlet in the 2010 election cycle has not yet been determined.

There were seven legislative referrals on the 2008 primary and general election ballots; estimates of the number of pages that would be used are based these. Costs of the 202-page (average) 2008 general voters' pamphlet (\$1,067,599), was used for estimating 2009-11 costs. Each referral is assumed to result in seven additional pages in the voters' pamphlet, for a General Fund total of \$38,031. The revenue estimate assumes eleven arguments pro and con would be filed relating to the referral, at \$500 per argument.

Because the total number of initiatives and legislative referrals affecting the 2010 election cycle will not be known until the respective deadlines of the primary and general elections, the cumulative impact, including this measure, cannot be determined. The Legislative Fiscal Office (LFO) assumes that the

Secretary will seek a General Fund appropriation from the Emergency Board or Legislative Assembly when the actual voters' pamphlet costs are known.

Under procedures established in ORS 250.125 and 250.127, a financial impact committee is created for each state measure submitted to the ballot through the initiative and referendum processes. For this reason, LFO does not include an estimate of the fiscal impact that would result if SJR 4 were to be adopted by a vote of the people.