

**75th OREGON LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY - 2009 Regular Session
STAFF MEASURE SUMMARY
House Committee on Rules**

**MEASURE: HB 2145 A
CARRIER: Rep. Roblan**

**REVENUE: No revenue impact
FISCAL: Minimal fiscal impact, no statement issued**

Action:	Do Pass as Amended and Be Printed Engrossed
Vote:	8 - 0 - 0
Yeas:	Berger, Edwards C., Garrard, Gelser, Jenson, Nolan, Read, Roblan
Nays:	0
Exc.:	0
Prepared By:	Jerry Watson, Administrator
Meeting Dates:	2/16, 4/13, 4/15, 5/8

WHAT THE MEASURE DOES: Changes name of board member on Criminal Justice Information Standards Advisory Board from “information resource management” to “Enterprise Information Strategy and Policy Division.” Clarifies that polices and standards must be “formulated to ensure that information resources fit together in a statewide system capability of providing ready access to information, computing and telecommunication resources” as is current requirement for rules, plans and specifications.

ISSUES DISCUSSED:

- Scope of authority for Department of Administrative Services to set IT and software standards
- Rulemaking requirement for software standards setting.
- Housekeeping changes involving IT terminology and organization
- Elimination of requirement to maintain a “continuous inventory” of IT resources
- Licensing of state-developed software programs
- Possible cooperation in software standards across branches of government

EFFECT OF COMMITTEE AMENDMENT: Changes substantive sections of original measure.

BACKGROUND: Information technology statutes have developed in a piecemeal fashion over time. Meanwhile the terminology used in the field of information technology has undergone change, as have the names, functions, activity and/or existence of some governmental entities mentioned in those statutes. Proponents assert that House Bill 2145-A standardizes information technology terms and eliminates outmoded references to governmental entities throughout the statutory provisions affected by the bill. Existing statutory provisions require Department of Administrative Services to implement state government-wide planning, standards, processes and procedures with regard to information technology. Proponents assert that DAS does not have the resources or authority to carry out those functions for statewide agencies beyond the executive branch. Proponents further assert that HB 2145-A makes DAS responsibility for information technology consistent with DAS authority related to executive branch agencies. Under existing provisions of ORS 291.038, DAS is required to establish IT “policies, procedures, standards and guidelines” through the administrative rule making process with legislative committee review prior to adoption. Proponents assert that the existing process for setting standards through administrative rule and legislative committee review is not practical.