Senate Bill 669

Sponsored by Senator L GEORGE (at the request of Russell Walker, FreedomWorks)

SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure **as introduced.**

Imposes pleading requirements for professional liability claims. Requires that claim be accompanied by certification by claimant's attorney stating that attorney has consulted person who holds same license, registration or certificate as defendant and who is qualified, available and willing to testify to admissible facts and opinions sufficient to create question of fact as to professional liability. Specifies exception.

Mandates settlement conference in action in which professional liability claim is made.

Allows defendant in professional liability claim to request impaneling of common sense jury if claim is for more than \$50,000. Requires award of reasonable attorney fees to defendant if common sense jury determines that claim is meritless and plaintiff does not receive money award at trial.

A BILL FOR AN ACT

- Relating to civil actions against licensed professionals.
- 3 Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:
 - **SECTION 1. (1) As used in this section:**
 - (a) "Licensed professional" means an attorney admitted to practice law in this state or any person who is required by law to be licensed, registered or certified as a condition of pursuing any commercial activity, trade, occupation or profession.
 - (b) "Professional liability claim" means a claim for damages against a person who is a licensed professional that:
 - (A) Arises out of the provision of services within the course and scope of the activities for which the person is licensed, registered or certified; and
 - (B) Is based on an assertion that the person failed to meet the standard of professional care applicable to the activities for which the person is licensed, registered or certified.
 - (2) Except as provided in subsection (4) of this section, a complaint, cross-claim, counterclaim or third-party complaint asserting a professional liability claim may not be filed with the court unless the claimant's attorney certifies that the attorney has consulted a person who:
 - (a) Holds the same license, registration or certificate as the licensed professional against whom the claim is made; and
 - (b) Is qualified, available and willing to testify to admissible facts and opinions sufficient to create a question of fact as to the liability of the licensed professional.
 - (3) The certification required by subsection (2) of this section must be filed with or be made part of the original complaint, cross-claim, counterclaim or third-party complaint. The certification must contain a statement that a person described in subsection (2) of this section is available and willing to testify that:
 - (a) The alleged conduct of the licensed professional failed to meet the standard of professional care applicable to the licensed professional in the circumstances alleged; and

1

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- (b) The alleged conduct was a cause of the claimed damages, losses or other harm.
- (4) In lieu of providing the certification required by subsection (2) of this section, the claimant's attorney may file with the court at the time of filing a complaint, cross-claim, counterclaim or third-party complaint an affidavit that states:
 - (a) The applicable statute of limitations is about to expire;

- (b) The required certification will be filed within 30 days after filing the complaint, cross-claim, counterclaim or third-party complaint or such longer time as the court may allow for good cause shown; and
- (c) The attorney has made such inquiry as is reasonable under the circumstances and has made a good faith attempt to consult with at least one person who meets the requirements of subsection (2) of this section.
- (5) Upon motion of the licensed professional, the court shall enter judgment dismissing any complaint, cross-claim, counterclaim or third-party complaint against the licensed professional that fails to comply with the requirements of this section.
- (6) This section does not apply to a complaint, cross-claim, counterclaim or third-party complaint against a construction design professional as defined by ORS 31.300.
- SECTION 2. (1) In any action in which there is a professional liability claim as defined in section 1 of this 2007 Act, all the parties to the action, the insurers of the parties and the attorneys of the parties shall attend and participate in a settlement conference before a circuit court judge other than the judge assigned to try the case. The judge shall attempt to ascertain at the settlement conference whether the action can be settled by the parties before trial.
- (2) The judge conducting a settlement conference under this section may waive the attendance of any person for good cause shown. The judge shall decide what information the parties may submit at the settlement conference.
- (3) The judge conducting a settlement conference under this section shall notify the parties of the time and place of the settlement conference.
- (4) The court shall impose appropriate sanctions against any person who is required to attend a settlement conference under this section and who fails to appear or to participate in good faith.
- SECTION 3. (1) If a professional liability claim, as defined in section 1 of this 2007 Act, of more than \$50,000 is made in any civil action, the defendant in the action may request that the court impanel a common sense jury. A common sense jury consists of six persons, selected at random from the term jury list prepared under ORS 10.225. Upon making a request under this subsection, a defendant shall pay a fee equal to the juror fees and other costs attributable to impaneling the common sense jury. The court administrator shall set a time and place for hearing before the common sense jury and give notice of the time and place to the parties.
- (2) Each party to a proceeding before a common sense jury under this section may present evidence and arguments. Each party must complete presentation of the party's case in not more than two hours. Proceedings before a common sense jury shall be conducted informally. Parties may be represented by attorneys in all proceedings before a common sense jury.
- (3) A common sense jury shall deliberate not more than two hours on each claim submitted to the jury. Each juror shall then vote on whether the juror finds that the claim has

m		

(4) The decision of a common sense jury is not binding on a party to a hearing before the jury. However, if the plaintiff continues prosecution of the case to trial after the common sense jury determines that the claim is meritless, and the plaintiff does not receive a money award at trial, the court shall award to the defendant reasonable attorney fees attributable to defense of the case after the entry of the common sense jury's decision.

SECTION 4. (1) Section 1 of this 2007 Act applies only to complaints, cross-claims, counterclaims and third-party complaints filed on or after the effective date of this 2007 Act.

(2) Sections 2 and 3 of this 2007 Act apply only to actions commenced on or after the effective date of this 2007 Act.

11 _____